
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 2

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE

Arapaho Rd Intersection of Arapaho Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9590; Long. -96.8250) Corridor : Project Name: GPS ID: 147 Town: Addison

Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit P	rice	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00	\$ -
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	12	SY	\$	45.00	\$ 540.00
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ 3,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00	\$ -
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	30	SY	\$	9.00	\$ 270.00
	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50	\$ -
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
	FIX PONDING	1	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	11	LS	\$	500.00	\$ 500.00
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ <u>-</u>
Basis for Cost Proje					Subtotal: S	6,310.00
	✓ No Design Completed			Engineering: (% +/-)	20% \$	
	☐ Preliminary Design			Contingency: (% +/-)	20% \$	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	☐ Final Design			Estimated Pro	ject Cost: S	9,000.00

## Project Location







## Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions		
		E	S	W	Possible Solutions		
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition							

	Curt	D	ID (let an til in name taket indicates an		
		Ramp	DID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no	Describle Oak Cons	
Curb Ramp Issues			existing ramp)	Possible Solutions	
	3A	4A			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed		ļ			
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk		<b> </b>			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp		<b></b>			
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	Х				
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	<u> </u>	Х			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%		Х			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	_i	<u> </u>			
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	<u> </u>			Remove and replace curb ramp	
rb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%		Х	Tromovo ana ropiace outb ramp	Tromove and replace cars ramp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	_i	<u> </u>			
Curb ramp width is less than 48"					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"		L			
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares					
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				Remove temporary obstruction	
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		Х		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	X	Х		color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed					
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	X	Х		Remove and replace landing area	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"		<b> </b>			
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	İ				
greater than 5%		<u> </u>			
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	Χ			Fix ponding	

**Photographs** 

GPS ID:

147







Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community