Kimley-Horn and A		Priority: 5							
Project Descriptio	n for Unsignalized Intersection								
Client:	Town of Addison								
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan		Prepared By: CMP						
KHA No.:	063543021				Checked	By: EPE			
Corridor :	Addison Rd				GPS	D: 155			
Project Name:	Intersection of Addison Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9585; L	ong96.8298)							
Town:	Addison	.							
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost			
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	Quantity	CY	\$ 10.0		11011 0031			
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.0					
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.0					
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	3	EA	\$ 1,500.0		4,500.0			
	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.0		-			
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	30	SY		5 5 5 \$	270.0			
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF) \$	-			
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.5	5 \$	-			
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.0) \$	-			
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.0) \$	-			
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	2	LS	\$ 2,000.0	D \$	4,000.0			
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.0		-			
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.0		-			
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	1	LS	\$ 2,000.0		2,000.0			
Basis for Cost Proje			Subtota		10,770.0				
	☑ No Design Completed			% \$	2,615.0				
	Preliminary Design	Con	J, (% \$	2,615.0				
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cos	t: \$	16,000.0			

Project Location



Field Observations

Internetien Inc		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
Intersection Issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition						
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control						
approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)					
	All u	iteria, patro	1 1 1 1 1 3 3 0 0			
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches. Crosswalk width is less than 6						

Crosswalk striping condition

			•		n ramp label indicates no			
Curb Ramp Issues				existing	g ramp)	Possible Solutions		
	1A	2A	3z	4A				
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed								
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk								
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp								
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface								
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	1							
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%		Х		Х				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%								
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				l		Remove and replace curb ramp		
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х	Х		Х				
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%								
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	Х	Х		Х				
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"								
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	Х			<u> </u>				
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				ļ				
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	Х		X		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install		
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х	Х		Х		color truncated domes		
Landing area does not exist and is needed				ļ				
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	X	X		X		Remove and replace landing area		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	X	X		ļ		Fix curb ramp transition		
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is		х				Fix curb ramp counter slope		
greater than 5%		^		<u> </u>				
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	1		1	T				



Ramp 1A



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Ramp 2A



Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community