Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	Associates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection				F	Priority: 2
Client: Program: KHA No.:	Town of Addison ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan 063543021		Date: 1/2/18 Prepared By: CMP Checked By: EPE			
	005545021					
Corridor :	Edwin Lewis Dr		GPS ID: 171			
Project Name: Town:	Intersection of Edwin Lewis Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.957 Addison	7; Long96.8251)				
-						
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Pr		Item Cost
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00 \$	-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00 \$	-
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00 \$	-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$	1,500.00 \$	3,000.0
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00 \$	-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	18	SY	\$	9.00 \$	162.0
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50 \$	-
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00 \$	-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	2	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	4,000.0
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00 \$	-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	1	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	2,000.0
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal: \$	9,162.0	
	☑ No Design Completed		ineering: (% +/-)	20% \$	1,919.0	
	Preliminary Design	Cor	ntingency: (% +/-)	20% \$	1,919.0	
	Final Design			Estimated Pro	ject Cost: \$	13,000.00


## Project Location



## Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		E	S	W	FOSSIBLE SOLUTIONS	
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition		riveway path o	f travel issue	s and possible s	olutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)	
Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no						

Curk Dama lasura		лат		Dessible Calificate	
Curb Ramp Issues	1 ^	2A	existing ramp)	Possible Solutions	
Curb rome does not avist and is needed	1A	ZA			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed					
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk		<u></u>	4		
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp		X		Remove and replace crosswalk pavement markings	
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface					
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	Х	Х			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	Х				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%					
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х	Х			
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%					
Curb ramp width is less than 48"					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"					
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	X	<u> </u>			
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares					
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	Х	Х		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, insta	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х	Х		color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed		Х		Install landing area	
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	Х			Remove and replace landing area	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	Х	Х		Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is		х		Fix curb ramp counter slope	
greater than 5%		^			
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp					



Ramp 1A



Ramp 2A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community