Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	ssociates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection					Priority: 5	
Client:	Town of Addison					Date: 1/2/18	
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Prepa	ared By: CMP	
KHA No.:	063543021				Chec	ked By: EPE	
Corridor :	Oaks N Dr					GPS ID: 219	
Project Name:	Intersection of Oaks N Dr and midblock crossing (Lat. 32	.9527; Long96.8143)					
Town:	Addison						
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Un	it	Unit Price	Item Cost	
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	C	/ \$	10.00 \$		-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00 \$		-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SI	′\$	45.00 \$		-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	۸ \$	1,500.00 \$	3,000	0.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00 \$		-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	11	SI	′ \$	9.00 \$	99	9.0
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$		-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	43	LF	\$	8.50 \$	365	5.50
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00 \$		-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$		-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	1	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	2,000	0.00
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	S \$	5,000.00 \$		-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS		500.00 \$		-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$		-
Basis for Cost Proje					Subtotal: \$	5,464	
	☑ No Design Completed			Engineering:		1,267	
	Preliminary Design			Contingency:		1,267	
	Final Design			Estin	nated Project Cost: \$	8,000	0.00

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
Intersection issues		E S		W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition	Good	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Install crosswalk pavement markings	
Crosswalk striping condition	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	install crosswark pavement markings	

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'j' in ramp label indicates no								
Curb Ramp Issues		existing ramp)					Possible Solutions	
	1A	1B	2z	3z	4z			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed			Х				Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk							<u></u>	
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>					_		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	Х	Х						
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	<u> </u>							
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	Х	Х]		
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%								
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	1						Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х	Х]		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%								
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	Х	Х]		
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"]		
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>							
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	ļ							
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	Х					For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х	Х]	color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed	ļ							
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		Х				1	Remove and replace landing area	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"							Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is								
greater than 5%								
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp								

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accomm




Ramp 1A



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)



Ramp 1B



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community