
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Priority: 2 Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE


Corridor : Project Name: GPS ID: 182 Belt Line Rd Intersection of Belt Line Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9541; Long. -96.8343) Town: Addison

TxDOT 110-6001 EXCAVATION (ROADW TxDOT 529-6002 CONC CURB (TY II) TxDOT 531-6001 CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	AY)	0 0	CY LF	\$ \$	10.00 S	\$
		0	LF	\$	15.00	<u> </u>
TxDOT 531-6001 CONC SIDEWALKS (4")		^			15.00	\$
		U	SY	\$	45.00	\$
TxDOT 531 CURB RAMPS		4	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ 6,000
TxDOT 5003-6002 RETROFIT DET WARN		0	SF	\$	50.00	\$
TxDOT 104-6015 REMOVING CONC (SID	EWALKS)	38	SY	\$	9.00	\$ 342
TxDOT 677 ELIM EXT PAVE MRK &	MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$
TxDOT 666/678 REFL PAV MRK PREP,	TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50	\$
REPAVE ROADWAY		0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$
FIX PONDING		0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$
FIX CURB RAMP TRAN	SITION	4	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ 8,000
MEDIAN NOSE MODIFIC		0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$
REMOVE TEMPORARY		0	LS	\$	500.00	\$
FIX CURB RAMP COUN	TER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$
Basis for Cost Projection			Subtotal: S	\$ 14,342		
☑ No Design Completed				ngineering: (%		
Preliminary Design			C	ontingency: (%		
Final Design				Estimate	d Project Cost: \$	\$ 21,000

Project Location







Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
intersection issues	N	E	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDR)				solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)	
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition						

Cont. Dame January		Ram	,		n ramp label indicates no	Describe Oak Save	
Curb Ramp Issues	1A	2A	ЗА	existing 4A	g ramp)	Possible Solutions	
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	i .						
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk		İ	<u> </u>				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	Ī	ļ	ļ				
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface							
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	X	Х	Х	Χ			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%		[Ī				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%		l]				
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%		ļ	Ī	i		Remove and replace curb ramp	
rb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%		Х	Х	Х		Tremove and replace curb famp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%		l]				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	- i	ļ	Ī	i			
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"		[Ī				
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	i	X	Х	1		<u> </u>	
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares							
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	X	X	Χ		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, instal	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	X	Χ	Х	Χ		color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed				<u> </u>			
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		X	X	Χ		Remove and replace landing area	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"		X	X	Х		Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	1	l	l				
greater than 5%							
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	Ī]	[


Photographs



Ramp 1A



Ramp 3A



Ramp 2A



Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community