Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.							
Project Description	n for Unsignalized Intersection						
Client:	Town of Addison					Date: 1/2/18	
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Prepa	red By: CMP	
KHA No.:	063543021				Chec	ked By: EPE	
Corridor :	Proton Rd				(	GPS ID: 246	
Project Name:	Intersection of Proton Dr and Canot Ln						
Town:	Addison						
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.	00 \$	-	
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.	00 \$	-	
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	12	SY	\$ 45.	00 \$	540.00	
	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$ 1,500.	00 \$	6,000.00	
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.	00 \$	-	
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	38	SY	\$ 9.	00 \$	342.00	
	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.	80 \$	-	
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	178	LF	\$ 8.	50 \$	1,513.00	
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.	00 \$	-	
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.	00 \$	-	
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	2	LS	\$ 2,000.	00 \$	4,000.00	
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS LS	\$ 5,000.		-	
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.	00 \$	-	
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.		-	
Basis for Cost Proje					tal: \$	12,395.00	
	☑ No Design Completed			5 . 5 ( ,	0% \$	2,802.50	
	Preliminary Design			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0% \$	2,802.50	
	Final Design			Estimated Project Co	st: \$	18,000.00	

## Project Location



## Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		E	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition	Good	N/A	Good	N/A		
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%		N/A		N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches		N/A		N/A		
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Install crosswalk pavement markings	
Crosswalk striping condition	None	N/A	None	N/A	install crosswalk pavement markings	

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no							
Curb Ramp Issues				existing	g ramp) Possible Solutions		
	1A	2A	ЗA	4z			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed				Х	Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile		
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	l			l			
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface							
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	Х		[				
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	Х						
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	1						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	1		[		Remove and replace curb ramp		
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х	Х	Х		internove and replace cub famp		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	1						
Curb ramp width is less than 48"							
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	1						
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	[						
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u>i</u>		<u> </u>	İ			
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	Х	X		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install		
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х	Х	Х		color truncated domes		
Landing area does not exist and is needed	Х				Install landing area		
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	ļ	Х	Х		Remove and replace landing area		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	ļ	Х	X		Fix curb ramp transition		
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is							
greater than 5%							
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	1						

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accomm



Ramp 1A



Ramp 3A



Ramp 2A



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community