
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 2

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE


Corridor : Project Name: Town: GPS ID: 248 Proton Rd Intersection of Proton Dr and Redding Trail Addison

TxDOT 110-6001 EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)					
	į U	CY	\$	10.00	\$ -
TxDOT 529-6002 CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001 CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	3	SY	\$	45.00	\$ 135.00
TxDOT 531 CURB RAMPS	0	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ -
TxDOT 5003-6002 RETROFIT DET WARN SURF) SF	\$	50.00	\$ 1,000.00
TxDOT 104-6015 REMOVING CONC (SIDEWAL	(S) 3	SY	\$	9.00	\$ 27.00
TxDOT 677 ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678 REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I &	ΓΥ II (W) 24"(SLD) 0	LF	\$	8.50	\$ -
REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION		LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATIO		LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
REMOVE TEMPORARY OBST		LS	\$	500.00	\$ -
FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER S	LOPE 0	LS LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
Basis for Cost Projection				Subtotal:	\$ 1,162.00
✓ No Design Completed		Engineering: (•	
Preliminary Design			Contingency: (
Final Design			Estima	ated Project Cost:	\$ 2,000.00

Project Location







Field Observations

latera estima la como		Cros	swalk		Descible California
Intersection Issues	N	E	S	W	Possible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition	N/A	N/A	N/A	Good	
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Crosswalk striping condition	N/A	N/A	N/A	Good	

	Curb	Ramp) ID ('z	' or ' <i>i</i> ' ir	n ramp label indicates no	
Curb Ramp Issues	existing ramp)		g ramp)	Possible Solutions		
	1A	2z	3 <i>z</i>	4A		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	İ		<u> </u>	İ		
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	İ		<u> </u>	İ		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface						
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%]]		
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%						
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	J	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	İ		<u> </u>	İ		
Curb ramp width is less than 48"						
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>		
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X		<u> </u>	X		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	X		ļ 	ļ		color truncated domes
Landing area does not exist and is needed	į		<u> </u>	į		
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	Х		ļ	ļ		Remove and replace landing area
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	ļ		ļ	ļ		
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is				İ		
greater than 5%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	i		i	i		

GPS ID:



Ramp 1A



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community