Kimley-Horn and A						Priority: 5
Project Description	n for Unsignalized Intersection					
Client:	Town of Addison					Date: 1/2/18
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Pr	epared By: CMP
KHA No.:	063543021				C	hecked By: EPE
Corridor :	Proton Rd					GPS ID: 249
Project Name:	Intersection of Proton Dr and Lexus Ave					
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Un	it	Unit Price	Item Cost
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	C			
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	Sì	′ \$	45.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	6	EA	\\$	1,500.00	\$ 9,000.0
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00	\$ -
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	15	Sì	′\$	9.00	\$ 135.0
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	228	LF	\$	8.50	\$ 1,938.0
	REPAVE ROADWAY	2	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ 10,000.0
	FIX PONDING	0	LS			\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	2	LS	\$	2,000.00	
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$		
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00	
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	
Basis for Cost Proje			Subtotal:	• • • • • •		
	☑ No Design Completed			Engineering		• • • • • • •
	Preliminary Design			Contingend		• • • • • • •
	Final Design			Est	imated Project Cost:	\$ 36,000.0

## Project Location



## Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions
		E	S	W	Possible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition	N/A	Good	Good	Dangerous	
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%	N/A				
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	N/A	N/A	х	N/A	Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches	N/A		N/A		
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Install crosswalk pavement markings
Crosswalk striping condition	N/A	None	None	None	install crosswark pavement markings

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no							
Curb Ramp Issues				existing ramp)	) Possible Solutions		
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3A	4A			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х			Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile		
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	l			<u> </u> ]			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>			<u> </u> ]			
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		<u> </u>			
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	l		Х	Х			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	[						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%					Remove and replace curb ramp		
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	l			Х	itemove and replace curb ramp		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	I						
Curb ramp width is less than 48"							
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	l			<u> </u> ]			
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	[	<u> </u>					
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	ļ						
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>		X	X	For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install		
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	l		X	X	color truncated domes		
Landing area does not exist and is needed	ļ		X	Х	Install landing area		
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	<b>.</b>			. <u></u>			
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	ļ	ļ	X	X	Fix curb ramp transition		
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is							
greater than 5%	<u> </u>						
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	I			T			

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accomm



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Ramp 3A



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community