Kimley-Horn and A						Priority: 5
Project Description	n for Unsignalized Intersection					
Client:	Town of Addison					Date: 1/2/18
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Prepa	red By: CMP
KHA No.:	063543021				Chec	ked By: EPE
Corridor :	Proton Rd					GPS ID: 250
Project Name:	Intersection of Proton Dr and Lakecrest Dr					
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 1	).00 \$	-
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 1	5.00 \$	-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	6	SY	\$ 4	5.00 \$	270.00
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	6	EA	\$ 1,50	0.00 \$	9,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 5	0.00 \$	-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	21	SY	\$	9.00 \$	189.00
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	245	LF	\$	3.50 \$	2,082.50
	REPAVE ROADWAY	1	LS	\$ 5,00	0.00 \$	5,000.00
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,00	).00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	2	LS	\$ 2,00	0.00 \$	4,000.00
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS LS		).00 \$	-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 50	0.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS		0.00 \$	-
Basis for Cost Proje					otal: \$	20,541.50
	☑ No Design Completed			Engineering: (% +/-)	20% \$	4,229.25
	Preliminary Design			J	20% \$	4,229.25
	Final Design			Estimated Project 0	ost: \$	29,000.00

## Project Location



## Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		N E S W		W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition	N/A	Good	Good	Dangerous	Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings	
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%	N/A					
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control	N/A	N/A		N/A		
approaches						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches	N/A		N/A			
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Install crosswalk pavement markings	
Crosswalk striping condition	N/A	None	None	None	install crosswalk pavement markings	

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no						
Curb Ramp Issues				existing	ramp) Possible Solutions	
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3A	4A		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х			Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk				<u> </u>		
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp				<u>i</u> j		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface						
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%			1			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%			Х			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%					Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%				Х	inclusive and replace curb ramp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%						
Curb ramp width is less than 48"			1	Х		
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"						
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares						
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	ļ		ļ			
No textured surface at base of curb ramp			X	Х	For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>		Х	Х	color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed			Х	Х	Install landing area	
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	ļ		ļ	<u> </u>		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	ļ		X	Х	Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is						
greater than 5%			1			
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp						

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accomm



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Ramp 3A



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community