Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 5


Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE

GPS ID: 265 Corridor : Project Name: Les Lacs Ave Intersection of Les Lacs Ave and Waterford Dr Town: Addison

Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit		Unit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00	\$ -
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	6	SY	\$	45.00	\$ 270.00
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	6	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ 9,000.00
	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	10	SF	\$	50.00	\$ 500.00
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	28	SY	\$	9.00	\$ 252.00
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	370	LF	\$	8.50	\$ 3,145.00
	REPAVE ROADWAY	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	4	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ 8,000.00
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0 [LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal:		
	✓ No Design Completed		Engineering: (%		\$ 5,416.50	
	□ Preliminary Design		Contingency: (%		\$ 5,416.50	
	☐ Final Design		Estimate	ed Project Cost:	\$ 37,000.00	

☐ Final Design

Project Location







Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		E	E S		Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition	Good	Good	Good	Good		
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control	N/A	Х	N/A		Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings	
approaches				ļ	Thopard rodainay and motion discourant partitions mainings	
Path of traval gross clone is greater than 5% for free flow approaches		N/A		N/A		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Crosswalk striping condition		None	None	None	Install crosswalk pavement markings	

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no							
Curb Ramp Issues				existing	g ramp)	Possible Solutions	
	1A	2A	3A	4A			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed							
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	İ		İ	İ			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	İ		İ	İ			
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	<u> </u>]				
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	Х		Х	Х			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%			Х	Х			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%				[
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				<u> </u>		Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%			<u> </u>	Х		remove and replace early famp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%			ļ				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"							
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"							
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		X	<u>[</u>			
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	Х	X	X		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х	Х	Х	Х		color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed	X	Х	X	X		Install landing area	
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	X	Χ	X	X		Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	į.		į.				
greater than 5%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp				Ī			

Photographs




Ramp 1A



Ramp 3A



Ramp 2A



Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community