Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 5

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE

Woodshadow Ln Intersection of Woodshadow Ln and Dickenson Ct GPS ID: 275 Corridor : Project Name: Addison Town:

Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00 \$	-
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00 \$	-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00 \$	-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	5	EA	\$ 1,500.00 \$	7,500.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00 \$	-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	8	SY	\$ 9.00 \$	72.00
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80 \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	140	LF	\$ 8.50 \$	1,190.00
	REPAVE ROADWAY	1	LS	\$ 5,000.00 \$	5,000.00
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	1	LS	\$ 2,000.00 \$	2,000.00
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00 \$	-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00 \$	-
Dania for Coat Drain	action		Cubtotali C	15 760 00	


Basis for Cost Projection

✓ No Design Completed✓ Preliminary Design

☐ Final Design

Subtotal: \$
20% \$
20% \$ 15,762.00 Engineering: (% +/-) 3,619.00 Contingency: (% +/-) 3,619.00 Estimated Project Cost: 23,000.00

Project Location







Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		N E S W		W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition	Dangerous	N/A	N/A	Good		
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%		N/A	N/A			
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	Х	N/A	N/A	N/A	Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings	
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Crosswalk width is less than 6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Install crosswalk pavement markings	
Crosswalk striping condition	None	N/A	N/A	None	install crosswalk pavement markings	

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no						
Curb Ramp Issues				existing	ng ramp) Possible Solutions	
	1A	2z	3 <i>z</i>	4z		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	<u> </u>	Χ	<u> </u>	Х	Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	İ		İ	<u>. </u>		
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	İ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	<u> </u>					
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	X					
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	X					
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	ļ]			
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%			<u> </u>		Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	X				Tremove and replace outs famp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	ļ]			
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	X					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	X		<u> </u>	İ	color truncated domes	
Landing area does not exist and is needed	X		<u> </u>	ļ	Install landing area	
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	X		ļ	ļ	Fix curb ramp transition	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	İ		İ			
greater than 5%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			

Photographs



Ramp 1A



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community