Kimley-Horn and A	Associates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection		Priority: 6					
Fillect Description								
Client:	Town of Addison		Date: 1/2/18					
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan	Prepared By: CMP						
KHA No.:	063543021				Chec	ked By: EPE		
Corridor :	Spring Valley Rd		GPS ID: 295					
Project Name:	Intersection of Spring Valley Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9399; Long96.8549)							
Town:	Addison							
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	9	Item Cost		
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00 \$	-		
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00 \$	-		
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00 \$	-		
	CURB RAMPS	1	EA	\$ 1,	500.00 \$	1,500.00		
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00 \$			
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$	9.00 \$	-		
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$	-		
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50 \$	-		
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,	000.00 \$	-		
	FIX PONDING	0	LS		000.00 \$	-		
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$2,	000.00 \$	-		
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS		000.00 \$	-		
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS		500.00 \$	-		
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	,	000.00 \$	-		
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal: \$	1,500.0			
	☑ No Design Completed		ineering: (% +/-)	20% \$	750.0			
	Preliminary Design	Con	tingency: (% +/-)	20% \$	750.0			
	Final Design			Estimated Project	ct Cost: \$	3,000.00		

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		E	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition						
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control						
approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)					
				[······································	
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches						
Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition						
Crosswark surping condition						

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no							
Curb Ramp Issues		, riamp	existing ramp)	Possible Solutions			
	3z	4z	chicking rampy				
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	X	<u> </u>		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile			
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	1	[]					
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	1	[]					
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	1						
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	1						
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%							
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	T						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	1						
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%							
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%							
Curb ramp width is less than 48"							
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"							
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares							
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares							
No textured surface at base of curb ramp							
No color contrast at base of curb ramp							
Landing area does not exist and is needed	. .						
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%							
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	+						
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is							
greater than 5%	. .						
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	1	1 1					



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community