Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	Associates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection					Priority: 5
Client: Program: KHA No.:	Town of Addison ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan 063543021				Date: 1/2/18 pared By: CMP ecked By: EPE	
Corridor : Project Name: Town:	Spring Valley Rd Intersection of Spring Valley Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9 Addison	399; Long96.8533)				GPS ID: 299
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit		Unit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00	- 6
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00	\$-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ 3,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00	\$ -
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	21	SY	\$	9.00	\$ 189.00
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50	\$
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00	
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	
Basis for Cost Projection					Subtotal: S	,
	☑ No Design Completed		Engineering: Contingency:			
Preliminary Design Final Design						
	Final Design			Estim	ated Project Cost: \$	5,000.00

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions		
Intersection issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions		
Path of travel pavement condition							
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%							
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control							
approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)						
					······································		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches							
Crosswalk width is less than 6'							
Crosswalk striping condition							
	Curls Dame						
Curb Ramo Issues	Curb Ram	DID ('z' or ' <i>i</i> ' ir		naicates no	Possible Solutions		

Curb Ramp Issues			existing ramp)	Possible Solutions
	1A	2A		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed				
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp				
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface		Х		
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%				
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	Х	Х		
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%				
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				Remove and replace curb ramp
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х	Х		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	Х	Х		
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"				
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	X	X		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, insta
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	X	X		color truncated domes
Landing area does not exist and is needed				
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	X	X		Remove and replace landing area
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"				
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is				
greater than 5%				
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp				



Ramp 1A



Ramp 2A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community