Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	F	Priority: 5							
Project Description									
Client:	Town of Addison								
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan								
KHA No.:	063543021				Chec	ked By: EPE			
Corridor :	Spring Valley Rd								
Project Name:	Intersection of Spring Valley Rd and driveway (Lat. 32.9399; Long96.8544)								
Town:	Addison								
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	t Price	Item Cost			
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00 \$	-			
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00 \$	-			
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00 \$	-			
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$	1,500.00 \$	3,000.00			
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00 \$	-			
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	11	SY	\$	9.00 \$	99.00			
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$	-			
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50 \$	-			
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00 \$	-			
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	-			
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	1	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	2,000.00			
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00 \$	-			
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00 \$	-			
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$	2,000.00 \$	-			
Basis for Cost Proje					Subtotal: \$	5,099.00			
	☑ No Design Completed		neering: (% +/-		1,450.50				
	Preliminary Design	Con	ingency: (% +/-		1,450.50				
	Final Design			Estimated F	Project Cost: \$	8,000.00			


Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
		E	S	W	Fossible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)					
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition						
Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no						

Curb Ramp Issues		·	existing ramp)	Possible Solutions
	ЗA	4z		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed		Х		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp				
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface				
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	Х			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%				
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				Remove and replace curb ramp
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	Х			
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"				
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"				
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	Х			
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	Х			For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, instal
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	Х			color truncated domes
Landing area does not exist and is needed				
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	X			Remove and replace landing area
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"				Fix curb ramp transition
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is				
greater than 5%				
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp				



Ramp 3A



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community