Kimley-Horn and A Project Descriptio	Associates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection				Р	riority: 6
Client: Program: KHA No.:	Town of Addison ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan					Date: 1/2/18 ed By: CMP
NHA NO.:	063543021				Check	ed By: EPE
Corridor : Project Name:	Woodshadow Ln Intersection of Woodshadow Ln and driveway (Lat. 32.94	43; Long96.8551)				PS ID: 90045
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Pric	e	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00 \$	-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00 \$	-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00 \$	
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$ 1	,500.00 \$	6,000.0
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00 \$	
	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$	9.00 \$	-
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80 \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50 \$	-
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$5	,000.00 \$	-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$2	,000.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$2	,000.00 \$	-
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS LS	\$5	,000.00 \$	-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0		\$	500.00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS		,000.00 \$	-
Basis for Cost Proje					Subtotal: \$	6,000.0
	☑ No Design Completed			ineering: (% +/-)	20% \$	1,500.0
	Preliminary Design		Con	tingency: (% +/-)	20% \$	1,500.0
	Final Design			Estimated Proje	ct Cost: \$	9,000.0

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions
Intersection issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition					
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%					
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control					
approaches	All dri	www.nath.o	of travel issue	e and nossi	ble solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)
	All un	veway pair o	1 11 20 11 13 30 0	3 and p033	bie solutions provided in driveway shapeline (Trit Ebbitty)
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches					

Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition

	Curt	o Ram	o ID ('z	' or ' <i>i</i> ' in
Curb Ramp Issues				existing
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3z	4z
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х	Х	Х
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp				
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface				
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	1			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%				L
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%			<u> </u>	
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%			_	ļ
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	<u> </u>	İ	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"				
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		ļ	ļ	ļ
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		ļ	ļ	. .
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		ļ	ļ	ļ
No color contrast at base of curb ramp			ļ	.
Landing area does not exist and is needed Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		l		·
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"			<u> </u>	÷
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>+</u>
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is greater than 5%				
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp			<u> </u>	



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community