Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	ssociates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection				Priority: 6	
Client:	Town of Addison				Date: 1/2/18	8
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan			F	Prepared By: CMP	
KHA No.:	063543021				Checked By: EPE	
Corridor :	Woodshadow Ln				GPS ID: 90047	7
Project Name:	Intersection of Woodshadow Ln and driveway (Lat. 32.94	47; Long96.8551)				
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost	
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00		
	CONC CURB (TY II)	<u>0</u>	LF	\$ 15.00		
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00		
	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,00	00.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00		-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00	\$	-
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80	\$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50	\$	-
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$	-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00		-
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00		-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00		-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00		-
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	00.00
	☑ No Design Completed			neering: (% +/-) 20%		00.00
	Preliminary Design Final Design		Cont	ingency: (% +/-) 20%	,,	00.00
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cost	: \$ 5,00	00.00


Project Location




Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Crosswalk			Possible Solutions
		E	S	W	
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)				
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition					
Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no					

		о капр	ID (2 OF 7 IN TAMP TABLET INDICATES NO		
Curb Ramp Issues			existing ramp)	Possible Solutions	
	1 <i>z</i>	4z			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk					
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp					
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface					
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%					
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%					
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%					
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%					
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%					
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%					
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	I				
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"					
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares					
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		<u>i</u>			
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		<u> </u>			
No color contrast at base of curb ramp					
Landing area does not exist and is needed					
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%					
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"		<u> </u>			
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	1				
greater than 5%					
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	1	11			



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community