Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection						
Project Description						
Client:	Town of Addison					Date: 1/2/18
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Prepare	d By: CMP
KHA No.:	063543021				Checke	d By: EPE
Corridor :	Woodshadow Ln				GP	S ID: 90048
Project Name:	Intersection of Woodshadow Ln and driveway (Lat. 32.94	49; Long96.8549)				
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY		00 \$	-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF)0 \$	-
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY		0 \$	-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$ 1,500.0		3,000.0
	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.0		-
	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.0	00 \$	-
	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.8	30 \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.	50 \$	-
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.0	00 \$	-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.0	00 \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.0		-
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.0		-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS		0 \$	-
		0	LS	\$ 2,000.0		-
Basis for Cost Proje	⊠ No Design Completed		Engi	Subto	ai: \$)% \$	3,000.0 1.000.0
	 Robesign Completed Preliminary Design)% \$)% \$	1,000.00
	 Freininary Design Final Design 		COIL	Estimated Project Co		5,000.00

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions
Intersection issues	Ν	E	S	W	Fossible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRF		solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)		
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition					
	Curb Ram	ıp ID ('z' or ' <i>i</i> ' ir	n ramp label i	ndicates no	

Curk Denne leaves	Curb Ramp ID (2 of 7 in ramp label indicates no			Describer Oak dates	
Curb Ramp Issues	3z	4z	existing ramp)	Possible Solutions	
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	32 X	42 X		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefi	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp					
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface		11			
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%		1			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%		ii			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%		1			
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%		11			
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%		T			
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%					
Curb ramp width is less than 48"					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"					
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares					
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		İİ			
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		ļ			
No color contrast at base of curb ramp					
anding area does not exist and is needed		ļ			
anding area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		ļ		ļ	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"					
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is					
greater than 5%		ļļ			
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	l	1 1			



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community