
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Priority: 2 Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE


Corridor : Project Name: Town: GPS ID: 90076 Morris Ave Intersection of Morris Ave and ramp (Lat. 32.9627; Long. -96.8275) Addison

TxDOT 110-6001 EXCAV TxDOT 529-6002 CONC 0 TxDOT 531-6001 CONC 9		0	CY	\$	10.00	Φ.	
		Λ			10.00	Ψ	-
TyDOT 531-6001 CONC 9		•	LF	\$	15.00	\$	-
TADOT 331 0001 OONO	SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00	\$	-
TxDOT 531 CURB F	RAMPS	1	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
TxDOT 5003-6002 RETRO	FIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00	\$	-
TxDOT 104-6015 REMOV	/ING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	11	SY	\$	9.00	\$	99.00
TxDOT 677 ELIM EX	XT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$	-
TxDOT 666/678 REFL P	'AV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50	\$	-
REPAV	E ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	-
FIX POI		0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$	-
	RB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$	-
	N NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	-
	/E TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	<u>0</u>	LS	\$	500.00	\$	-
	RB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	1	LS	; \$	2,000.00		2,000.00
Basis for Cost Projection			Subtotal:		3,599.00		
✓ No Design Completed				eering: (% +			1,200.50
☐ Preliminary Design				gency: (% +		*	1,200.50
☐ Fina	al Design			Estimated	Project Cost:	\$	6,000.00

Project Location







Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Crosswalk			Possible Solutions
		E	S	W	Possible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5% Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All d	riveway path c	of travel issue	s and possible s	solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition					

Curb Ramp Issues		Ramp	ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no	Possible Solutions	
			existing ramp)		
	1 <i>z</i>	4A			
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed					
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	<u> </u>	j			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	<u> </u>				
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface		Χ			
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%					
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%		Χ			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%					
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				Remove and replace curb ramp	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%		Χ		Remove and replace curb ramp	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%					
Curb ramp width is less than 48"					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"					
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		Х			
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares					
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		Χ		For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp					
Landing area does not exist and is needed					
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		X		Remove and replace landing area	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	<u> </u>				
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is		Х		Fix curb ramp counter slope	
greater than 5%		^		The data family oddition diopo	
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	T				

Photographs







Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community