
Kimley-Horn and A Project Description	ssociates, Inc. n for Unsignalized Intersection				Priority: 6
Client:	Town of Addison				Date: 1/2/18
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan			Pre	epared By: CMP
KHA No.:	063543021			Ch	ecked By: EPE
Corridor :	Woodshadow Ln				GPS ID: 90055
Project Name:	Intersection of Woodshadow Ln and driveway (Lat. 32.94	149; Long96.8535)			
Town:	Addison				
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00	\$-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00	\$-
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00	\$-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00	
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00	\$ -
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80	\$-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50	\$ -
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$-
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00	
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	
Basis for Cost Proje			Subtotal:	• • • • • • • •	
	☑ No Design Completed			neering: (% +/-) 20%	• ,
	Preliminary Design		Con	tingency: (% +/-) 20%	• ,
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cost:	\$ 5,000.00


## Project Location



## Field Observations

Intersection Issues	Crosswalk				Possible Solutions
Intersection issues		E	S	W	Fossible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%. Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)				
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition					
Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates po					

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no							
Curb Ramp Issues		. camp	existing ramp)	Possible Solutions			
ourb rump loodoo	27	4z	oxiding rump)				
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	<u>32</u>	42 X		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile			
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk							
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	+						
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	+						
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	+						
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	++						
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	+						
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	. <b>.</b>						
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%							
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%							
Curb ramp width is less than 48"							
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"							
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	T						
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares							
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	T	1					
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	T	1					
Landing area does not exist and is needed	1						
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	T						
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	T						
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	T						
greater than 5%							
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	++						



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community