Kimley-Horn and A		Priority: 6				
Project Description	n for Unsignalized Intersection					
Client:	Town of Addison			Dat	e: 1/2/18	
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan				Prepared B	y: CMP
KHA No.:	063543021				Checked B	y: EPE
Corridor :	Paladium Dr				GPS II	D: 90085
Project Name:	Intersection of Paladium Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.9491; L	_ong96.8166)				
Town:	Addison					
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	lte	m Cost
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00		-
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00		-
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00		-
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$ 1,500.00) \$	6,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00) \$	-
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00) \$	-
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80) \$	-
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50) \$	-
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00		-
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00) \$	-
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00		-
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00		-
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00		-
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00		-
Basis for Cost Proje		_	Subtota	*	6,000.00	
	☑ No Design Completed				6 \$	1,500.00
	Preliminary Design		C		6 \$	1,500.00
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cost	t: \$	9,000.00

Project Location



Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cross	swalk		Possible Solutions
Intersection issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions
Path of travel pavement condition					
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%					
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control					
approaches	All dri	veway nath o	f travel issue	e and nossik	ble solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)
	Air un	veway pair o	1 11 11 11 13 10	3 and p033h	sie solations provided in driveway shapelite (Trit Ebbitty)
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches					

Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition

	Curb	Ram	o ID ('z	' or ' <i>i</i> ' in r	ates no
Curb Ramp Issues				existing r	
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3z	4z	
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х	Х	Х	Instal
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	[]		
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp					
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface					
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	İ.				
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%					
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%					
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	 	 		<u> </u>	
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	ļ	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	_			<u> </u>	
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	ļ		ļ	.	ļ
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	 		ļ	.	
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	ļ			.	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	 				
Landing area does not exist and is needed Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%			.	+	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	 		<u> </u>	+{	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is			<u> </u>	+{	
counter slope of the guiller of street at the loot of the curb ramp is greater than 5%					
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	 		<u> </u>	+	



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community