	nley-Horn and Associates, Inc. ject Description for Unsignalized Intersection						
Froject Description							
Client:	Town of Addison				Date: 1/2/18		
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan		Pi	repared By: CMP			
KHA No.:	063543021			C	hecked By: EPE		
Corridor :	Paladium Dr				GPS ID: 90086		
Project Name:	Intersection of Paladium Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.9492; L	_ong96.8164)					
Town:	Addison						
lta an Ma	lines Description	Quartit	11.5		line Oraci		
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost		
	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00			
	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00			
	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00			
	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$ 1,500.00			
	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00			
	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00			
	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80			
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50			
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00			
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00			
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00			
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00			
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS LS	\$ 500.00			
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00			
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal:	• • • • • • • • •		
	☑ No Design Completed			neering: (% +/-) 20%			
	Preliminary Design		Cont	ingency: (% +/-) 20%	• ,		
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cost:	\$ 9,000.00		

## Project Location



## Field Observations

Interportion logues		Cros	swalk		Possible Solutions	
Intersection Issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition						
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control						
approaches	All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)					
					······································	
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches						
Crosswalk width is less than 6'						
Crosswalk striping condition						

Crosswalk striping condition

	Curt	Ram		•	p label indicates no
Curb Ramp Issues				existing ramp)	p) Possible Solutions
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3z	4z	
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х	Х	Х	Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see sha
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp			İ		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface			1		
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%					
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	1				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	1		1	T	
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	1		1	11	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	1		1		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	-		1	11	
Curb ramp width is less than 48"			1		
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"			1		
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	1		1		
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares			1		
No textured surface at base of curb ramp			1	<u> </u>	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp			<u> </u>		
Landing area does not exist and is needed					
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%			<u> </u>	L	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"			ļ	ļ	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is					
greater than 5%			1		
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp		1	1	T1	



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community