
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 6

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE

Corridor : Project Name: GPS ID: 90095 Oaks N Dr Intersection of Oaks N Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.9505; Long. -96.8157) Town: Addison

Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Ur	nit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$	10.00	\$ -
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$	15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$	45.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$	1,500.00	\$ 6,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$	50.00	\$ -
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$	9.00	\$ -
	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$	2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$	8.50	\$ -
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$	2,000.00	\$ -
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$ -
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$	500.00	<u>\$</u> -
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	<u> </u>	2,000.00	\$ -
Basis for Cost Proje					Subtotal:	\$ 6,000.00
	✓ No Design Completed			Engineering: (% +		\$ 1,500.00
	☐ Preliminary Design			Contingency: (% +		* /
	☐ Final Design			Estimated	Project Cost:	\$ 9,000.00

## Project Location








## Field Observations


		Cros	swalk			
Intersection Issues	N	Е	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition						
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control approaches		All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)				
Crosswalk striping condition						

	Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no					
Curb Ramp Issues			•	existing ramp)		
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3 <i>z</i>	4 <i>z</i>		
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	X	X	X	X	Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile	
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk			İ			
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp						
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface			]			
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%		•	]			
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	<u> </u>	[	[			
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%		[	]			
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%				I I		
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%			<u> </u>			
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%			!			
Curb ramp width is less than 48"	i					
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"						
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares				<u> </u>		
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
No textured surface at base of curb ramp		<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
No color contrast at base of curb ramp		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Landing area does not exist and is needed		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%		ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"		ļ	ļ	ļ		
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is			İ			
greater than 5%		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>		
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	Ti Ti	i	[ [			


Photographs



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community