
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Project Description for Unsignalized Intersection Priority: 6

Client: Town of Addison Date: 1/2/18 Program: ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Prepared By: CMP KHA No.: 063543021 Checked By: EPE

Corridor : Project Name: Town: GPS ID: 90105 Oaks N Dr Intersection of Oaks N Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.9516; Long. -96.8151) Addison

Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00	\$ -
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00	\$ -
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	2	EA	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,000.00
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00	\$ -
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00	\$ -
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80	\$ -
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50	\$ -
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00	\$ -
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -
Basis for Cost Proje				Subtotal:	\$ 3,000.00
	✓ No Design Completed			neering: (% +/-) 20%	
	☐ Preliminary Design		Cont	ingency: (% +/-) 20%	,
	☐ Final Design			Estimated Project Cost:	\$ 5,000.00

Project Location







Field Observations

	Crosswalk					
Intersection Issues		Е	S	W	Possible Solutions	
Path of travel pavement condition						
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control		All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)				
approaches						
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6'						
Crosswalk striping condition						

Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no						
Curb Ramp Issues			existing ramp)	Possible Solutions		
	1 <i>z</i>	2z				
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Χ	Χ		Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapefile		
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk	İ	<u> </u>				
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp	İ					
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface	<u> </u>					
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%	Ĭ	ļ				
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%						
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	!					
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	i .					
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	<u> </u>					
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Curb ramp width is less than 48"						
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"	<u> </u>					
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	<u> </u>	ļ				
No textured surface at base of curb ramp	ļ	ļ				
No color contrast at base of curb ramp	į	ļ				
Landing area does not exist and is needed	ļ	ļ				
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%	ļ	ļ		ļ		
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"	ļ					
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is	İ	l				
greater than 5%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp	i	İ				

Photographs



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community