Client:	Town of Addison				Date: 1	/2/18			
Program:	ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan			Pr	epared By: C	MP			
KHĂ No.:	063543021 Checked								
Corridor :	Oaks N Dr				GPS ID: 9	0107			
Project Name:	Intersection of Oaks N Dr and driveway (Lat. 32.9519; Los	ng96.8146)							
Town:	Addison								
Item No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item C	Cost			
TxDOT 110-6001	EXCAVATION (ROADWAY)	0	CY	\$ 10.00	\$	-			
TxDOT 529-6002	CONC CURB (TY II)	0	LF	\$ 15.00	\$	-			
TxDOT 531-6001	CONC SIDEWALKS (4")	0	SY	\$ 45.00	\$	-			
TxDOT 531	CURB RAMPS	4	EA	\$ 1,500.00	\$	6,000.0			
TxDOT 5003-6002	RETROFIT DET WARN SURF (CAST IN PLACE)	0	SF	\$ 50.00	\$	-			
TxDOT 104-6015	REMOVING CONC (SIDEWALKS)	0	SY	\$ 9.00	\$	-			
TxDOT 677	ELIM EXT PAVE MRK & MRKS	0	LF	\$ 2.80	\$	-			
TxDOT 666/678	REFL PAV MRK PREP, TY I & TY II (W) 24"(SLD)	0	LF	\$ 8.50	\$	-			
	REPAVE ROADWAY	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00	\$	-			
	FIX PONDING	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$	-			
	FIX CURB RAMP TRANSITION	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00	\$	-			
	MEDIAN NOSE MODIFICATION	0	LS	\$ 5,000.00		-			
	REMOVE TEMPORARY OBSTRUCTION	0	LS	\$ 500.00	<u>\$</u>	-			
	FIX CURB RAMP COUNTER SLOPE	0	LS	\$ 2,000.00		-			
asis for Cost Proje			Subtotal:		6,000.0				
	☑ No Design Completed			ineering: (% +/-) 20%		1,500.0			
	Preliminary Design		Con	tingency: (% +/-) 20%	•	1,500.0			
	Final Design			Estimated Project Cost:	\$	9,000.0			




Field Observations

Intersection Issues		Cros	sswalk		Possible Solutions		
Intersection issues	Ν	E	S	W	Possible Solutions		
Path of travel pavement condition							
Path of travel running slope is greater than 5%							
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2% for stop control							
approaches		All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV)					
	<i>,</i> a.	frond) paul	01 114701 10040				
Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5% for free-flow approaches							
Crosswalk width is less than 6'							
Crosswalk striping condition							

Crosswalk striping condition

	Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no				
Curb Ramp Issues	existing ramp)				p) Possible Solutions
	1 <i>z</i>	2z	3z	4z	
Curb ramp does not exist and is needed	Х	Х	Х	Х	Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see sha
Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	
No 4' x 4' clear space at base of curb ramp			İ		
Curbed side is not 90° or has traversable adjacent surface			1		
Flare cross slope is greater than 10%					
Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3%	1				
Blended transition running slope is greater than 5%	1		1	T	
Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5%	1		1	11	
Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	1		1		
Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2%	-		1	11	
Curb ramp width is less than 48"			1		
Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60"			1		
Permanent obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares	1		1		
Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares			1		
No textured surface at base of curb ramp			1	<u> </u>	
No color contrast at base of curb ramp			<u> </u>		
Landing area does not exist and is needed					
Landing area is less than 5' x 5' or slopes greater than 2%			<u> </u>	L	
Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"			ļ	ļ	
Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is					
greater than 5%			1		
Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp		1	1	T1	



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community