Brown & Root PG-I - 19.4 Services	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION 0 105
16610 Dallas Parkway, Suite 2200 / Dallas, TX 75248 194	ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL PRALIMINARY
104	Subcontractor (If applicable): NVA Date 28-Dec-98
December 18, 1998	Subject: Rock bolts at east portal of funnel Reply Regid B ASAP
LETTER NO.: HBZ 260-552 SUBJECT FILE CODE: 765.10	Drief Distribution List Jim Gardner Bill Leoch Problem: George James
H. B. Zachry Company PO Box 1004 Addison, Texas 75001-1004	See proposal.
REF: Addison Airport Toll Tunnel DNT-260	
Attention: Mr. James Gardner	
SUBJECT. Underdrain along Southeast "Shotcreted" Retaining Wall (RFI-194, EAR-26)	
Dear Mr. Gardner:	Possible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?  N  Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  N
In formal response to H. B. Zachry's RFI-194 dated 7 December 1998, Brown & Root instructs you to install pipe underdrains along the Southeast "Shotcreted" Retaining Wall according to the attached plan and section sketches  Brown & Root has considered the	Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI? Engineering: 0 Hrs Surveying Crew: 0 Hrs Other: 0 Hrs
possible conflict of a future "fascia-wall" footing, the lighting power line (Signing, Pavement Marking, and Illumination Plans (DNT-305) Contract Drawing Sheets 21, 22, & 23), the	Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Date: Reviewed By:
inplace video communications conduits (Contract Drawing Sheet E156 – Note 1-3), and the pavement curb (Contract Drawing Sheets C-24 & C-25). Therefore, place the underdrains as close as possible to the shotcreted wall. Install the underdrains according to the	Delete the two rockbolts highlighted in the included drawing. The rockbolts are only 6 to 10 inches above the pavement. Would there be enough support provided by the pavement at this location?
instructions in RFI-157 and Pipe Underdrains Special Provision Item 556. Backfill with Cement Treated Base as shown on the section sketch between the wall and the pavement curb only	
The North Texas Tollway Authority intends not to issue revised Contract Drawing Sheets	
C-24 & C-25. Therefore, H. B. Zachry shall make the pipe underdrain additions to their asbuilt Contract Drawings.	Respor. 1 Construction Management/ Engineer: Sale Title ATTACTION RESPONSE BY (ACTION & ACCOUNT FOR RE) 195 WHICH WAS DISSAPPROUND.
Brown & Root considers that the pipe underdrain additions and the cement treated base usage to be a quantity increase change to the Contract. If acceptable to H. B. Zachry, the	
added underdrains will be paid at the Pipe Underdrain Item 556 & SP bid prices for 6-in. pipe roadway and slope underdrains. The cement treated base will be paid at the Cement	FANO - CARAGE ACTION
Treated Base Item 276 bid price for 6-in, thickness times two, for the two lifts of 6-in, cement	FAV -7 HOR -7 MAPOF
treated base.	611
	Solution By ( Hose gran Date: 1/4/99   Reviewed by:   Date:
TO THE TOWN CORP.	
CHRY CONSTRUCTION CORP.  QUEST FOR INFORMATION  PRELIMINARY	8 H. B. ZACHRY COMPANY 199 REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
DISON AIRPORT TUNNEL	ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL
ontractor (if applicable): S&J Electrical (Sign and Illumination Sub)  RFI No.: 198  Date 1/19/99	Subcontractor (if applicable): S & I Electric Date 26-Jan-29
Originator: Zachry Const. Corp.	Ongostor: Majed Limam  Subject: Exact height of wall mounted roadway illumination signs.   Reply Reg'd By ASAP
net: Location of proposed Light Poles along north edge of Keller Springs   Reply Regid By: ASAP	Demburge List

ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL	PARLIMINANY 196	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION	<sub>(</sub> 19
	RFI No.: 196	ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL	ur
ubcontractor (if applicable): Zachry/Monterey	Date 12/28/98	7,22,00,11,01,01,01	RFI No.: 197
	Originator: HBZachry Co.	ubcontractor (if applicable): S&J Electrical	Date 1/12/99
Subject: Sump Bracing	Reply Req'd By: Zachry/Montercy		Originator: Zachry Const. Corp.
Drwng Spec No : P148	Distribution List: Bill Leech	Subject: OSB Structures #1 and #2	Reply Reg'd By: S&J Electrical
·	Majed Limam	Drwng /Spec No.: DNT-305, Sheets 8, 10, 13 and 14	Distribution List: Bill Leech
Problem:			Majed Limam
Zachry/Monterey has expressed concern about the lack of any lateral East and West Sumps. Is there a need for bracing? Also, the PVC re Sump splashes directly onto the floor of the sump. Is a plate needed t	elay-pipe from the tunnel sump into the East	Problem: The plan locations for OSB#1 and #2 will conflict with existing utilities.	See attachments.
		LTR PRAFITO	
ossible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?	y [X]		
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Istimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	Engineering: 1 Hrs Surveying Crew: 0 Hrs	Possible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?  Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	y X y Engineering: 1 Hrs
In a series	Other: 1 Hrs 8/98 Reviewed By: J. Gardner	{ <b>0</b> //	Surveying Crew: 3 Hrs
			Other: I Hrs
tructural steel braces could be erected off the interior walls to brace	the discharge bibe when the large bothly same	Proposed Solution by Contractor By: HBZachry Co. Date: 01/12/99	
p. ee sketch showing a possible bracing configuration.	i	Move the Overhead Sign Bridges to avoid the existing utilities. Moving (	
se sketch showing a possible bracing comiguration.		Existing footings and waterline W-1 conflict with the foundation for OSB	#1.
	!		
	i		
	1		
	ì		
Secretary by Construction Management			
Response by Construction Management  THE LATERAL BRACING IS NOT	REQ'D.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Response by Construction Management:	
· A FLOOT PLATE IS NOT (LEGD			
FM -> HOR (MCN)			
		1	
CC - DEL (MPOT)			····
JOHN BY: MIKE ASHCRAFT Date: 1/6/9 9 Reviewed	by: Date	FAM -> MCE -> ACOUNT L -> Born -> 14ANT	

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION			19	Ĵ
ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL				
ADDISON AIM ON TONNED			RFI No.:	
Subcontractor (if applicable): S&J			Date	ō
Subcontractor (ii applicable).		Originator:	Majed Limam	
Subject: Overhead Sign # 1 interfering with existing utility	ies.	Reply Reg'd By:		_
Drw/Spec. No.: Sheet # 8, 13		Distribution List:		
Dimoper. (c., back a c) as		George James		
Problem:		S&J		
Water line W1 and existing electrical cables interfere with	the drilling	L		
of overhead sign # 1 foundation. See attached drawing for	r exact location of	of water line W1, e	lectrical cables,	an
proposed drill shaft.				
				_
	····			
Possible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?	Y			_
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?	Ń			
Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution		Engineering: 1	Hrs	
rounne of min above transmitte many manage sounds		Surveying Crew:		5
		Other: 2	Hrs	
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Majed Limam	Date: 2/9/99	Reviewed By:		
Relocate a section of the W1 water line around the drill sh	aft.			
				_
		<u></u>		_
				_
Response by Construction Management:				
				_
				_
				_
EC -> BIR (IMPUT)				
EC -> BFR (IMPUT) FOX -> MCE (DEMANNE) FAX-> HOR (MED)(MED)				

ZACHRY CONSTRUCTION CORP.		19
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION	PRELIMIN	IARY U
ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL	I take the best to	
		RFI No.: 198
Subcontractor (if applicable): S&J Electrical (Sign and Illumination Sub)	<del></del>	Date 1/19/99
	Originator: Zachry	
Subject: Location of proposed Light Poles along north edge of Keller Springs	Reply Req'd By:	ASAP
Drwng /Spec No.: SPMI Plans sheet 18	Distribution List:	
Problem: Zachry Construction's Sign & Illumination subcontractor, S&J Electrical.		
along the north edge of Keller-Springs (addison rule) and dangerous without first de-energizing or 'blanketing' the existing lines and drilling-plan has been devised. S&J has already installed the other found; answer, ASAP, to avoid having to re-mobilize later.	ations on the west si	ide and requests
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?		Hre
imate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	Engineering: 1 Surveying Crew: Other: ?	1 Hrs Hrs
imate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RF17	Surveying Crew: Other: ? Reviewed By: J. O	1 Hrs Hrs Gardner
imate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RF17	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. Cimately 4-feet, in or	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at lea
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE Firest Opposit i) Mannan ZFT OFFSET	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. Cimately 4-feet, in orelectrical lines below  From Cuais	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at lead ow ground.
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date: 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE First Option (1) Maintain ZFT Off SET Pole EUGE AND 2) Maintain ZFT Off SET	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Cuals	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIGE TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE First Opport 1) Maintain ZFT OFFSET  POLE FUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.11 EA	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE Firest Opposit i) Mannan ZFT OFFSET	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPPONT I) MAINTAIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO. II EAR  POLE NO. 10 FAST DO ST FARM AS CATIONS.	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTON! I) MANNIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 FAST IN FT From LOCATIONS.  DRAWING SHEET NO. 18. (SEE ATTACHEE)	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp.   Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTON! I) MANNIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE FUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 FAST ID FT From LOCATIONS.  ONAWING SHEET NO. 18. (SEE ATTACHEE)	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp.   Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTON! I) MANNIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE FUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 FAST ID FT From LOCATIONS.  ONAWING SHEET NO. 18. (SEE ATTACHEE)	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTON! I) MANNIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 FAST IN FT From LOCATIONS.  DRAWING SHEET NO. 18. (SEE ATTACHEE)	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTON! I) MANNIN ZFT OFFSET  POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 FAST IN FT From LOCATIONS.  DRAWING SHEET NO. 18. (SEE ATTACHEE)	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPPON! ) MAINTAIN ZFT OFFSET POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN POLE NO.!! SHELT NO.!! (SEE ATTACHTER)  Response by Construction Management:	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp.   Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPTION!   MAINTAIN ZFT OFFSRI  POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN  POLE NO. 10 RAST 10 FT From LO CATIONS .  UNAWARG SHEET NO. 18. ( SEE ATTACHEE)  Response by Construction Management:	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs Gardner rder to stay at leadow ground.  FIG. TO
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Zachry Const. Corp. Date. 1/19/98  If permissible, the light poles could be moved in toward the street approx ft clear of the power line. Another option would be to install the existing  USE FIRST OPPON! ) MAINTAIN ZFT OFFSET POLE RUGE AND 2) MOUE POLE NO.!! EN POLE NO.!! SHELT NO.!! (SEE ATTACHTER)  Response by Construction Management:	Surveying Crew: Other: Reviewed By: J. C imately 4-feet, in or electrical lines belo From Curis Trow Curis Thow ON C	Hrs Hrs  Hrs  Gardner rder to stay at lead by ground.  FIG. TO

H. B. ZACHRY COMPANY		100
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION	a	198
	TAGLI	minnay
ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL		1 No. 199
	Da	1710.
Subcontractor (if applicable): S & J Electric	Originator: Majed Lis	
Subject: Exact height of wall mounted roadway illuminate		
Drw/Spec. No.: Sheet 20, DNT-305	Distribution List:	
	Chago	
Problem:	George James	
Detail "A or sheet 20 shows the wall mounted lighting fir	nurs to be connected to an embedded (	GRS conduit and an
embedded pull box. These items were not shown, or requi	red by the DNT-260 contract that cont	ained retaining
walls construction. Neither of these items were embedded	in the wall panels.	
Also, no destance is provided of how far the lights are from	the top of wall.	
assible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?	N	
clave in project execution involved with this RFI?	N	
clave in project execution involved with this RFI?	N to RFI? Engineering:	Hrs
clave in project execution involved with this RFI?	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI? stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI?  unimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution  roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI? unmate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution roposed Solution by Contractor By: Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI? stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution roposed Solution by Contractor By: Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution  roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution  roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI? stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution roposed Solution by Contractor By: Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits.	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding atternate solution  roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:    Date: Reviewed By:	Hrs
elays in project execution involved with this RFI? stimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution reposed Solution by Contractor By: Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits bracket base.	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs sall to light fixture
roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits bracket base.  Response by Construction Management	to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other: H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs all to light fixture
elays in project execution involved with this RF1?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution  roposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits  bracket base.  Response by Construction Management  REFERENCE SACT 15 0F 7	N to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By: Please provide distance from top of w	Hrs  all to light fixture  all to ToR DETAIL
clays in project execution involved with this RF17 trimests of time spent evaluating, finding elternate solution to possed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits bracket base.  Response by Construction Management  Response by Construction Management  REFERRICE STEET AS OF 2  DETIGIL & AND SMEET SEE  A SOUTH LIGHTS MAY RESERVED SMEET.	N to RF!? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND
elays in project execution involved with this RF1?  stimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution  reposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits.  bracket base.  Response by Construction Management	N to RFI? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By: Please provide distance from top of w	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND
reposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits bracket base.  Response by Construction Management  Response by C	N to RF!? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND
Response by Construction Management  Response by	N to RF!? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND
reposed Solution by Contractor By:  Drill through the existing DS panels and install conduits bracket base.  Response by Construction Management  Response by C	N to RF!? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND
DE DETÂIL R AND SMEET SER A NOTE LIGHTS MAY RE SHIF JUNCTION GOLET ON RACK O ACCESSIBLE	N to RF!? Engineering: Surveying Crew: Other H:  Date: Reviewed By:  Please provide distance from top of w.	Hrs  all to light fixture  OUNTING MEIGH  ET FOR DETAIL  SEAM AND

H. B. ZACHRY COMPANY			200
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION			2 U U
			1
ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL		RFI No.:	200
a		Date	27-Jan-99
Subcontractor (if applicable): S&J	Originator:	Majed Liman	
C. Line Balancia - Crim # 40	Reply Reg'd By:		
Subject: Relocation of sign # 40.  Drw/Spec. No.: Sheet 10, DNT 260 Signage Plans	Distribution List:		
Drw/spac. No.: Sheat 10, DN 1 200 Signage Flaus	George James		
Problem:	S&J		
Problem.			
	7		
Sign # 40, cannot be placed as shown on sheet 10 of contract drawings b	ecause of the interfe	rence of existin	ng trees
in the area in question.			
in the men in question.			
ossible rework or extra work involved with this RFI?			
Delays in project execution involved with this RF1?			
estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	Engineering:	Hrs	
Sumble of time spent evaluating, maning mention solution to the se	Surveying Crew:	Hrs	
	DILLACATION CLEM.	rus	,
	Other:	Hrs	<b>'</b>
Imposed Solution by Contractor By: Date:	Other:		
Proposed Solution by Contractor By: Date:	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstruction south of Long Shots driveway.	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstruction south of Long Shots driveway.	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstruction south of Long Shots driveway.	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.  Response by Construction Management:	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.  Response by Construction Management:  FAX -> HD12 (NFO)	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.  Response by Construction Management:  FAX -> HO12 (NFO)	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.  Response by Construction Management:  FAX -> HD12 (NFG)	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	
Suggest moving the sign towards the North slightly to avoid obstructions south of Long Shots driveway.  Response by Construction Management:  FAX -> HDIL (NFO) FAX -> ADDISON (AGMERT MENT)	Other:  Reviewed By:	Hrs	

H. B. ZACHRY COMPANY			20
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION			20
ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL		RFI No.:	201
A CP - K-LL-) CPI 701		Date	05-Mar-99
Subcontractor (if applicable): S&J, Z/M	Originator:	Majed Limem	
Subject: Ground pull box behind West Portal RW and conduits.	Reply Reg'd By		
Drw/Spec. No.: Sheet 15 DNT 305, Sheet T129 DNT 260	Distribution List		
Drwispec No.: Silber 13 DN 1 303, Silber 1123 DN 1 200	George James	-	
Problem:	S&I		
Sheet 15 of DNT 305 shows the pull box behind the West Portal to	he ZM		
"existing". Sheet T129 of DNT 260 shows the same pull box to be		_	
Bi to Hard by others" Disease clavifly			
On all residual subsequences for DNT 260, Zachru/Monteney install	ed a pull box at that loca	tion anyway. A	3/4" conduit
was run from the pull box into the electrical room. That constuit wa	installed, even though t	not called for in the	he plans,
Total Tackwall and and cook cook			
Our electrical subcontractor for DNT 305, S&J Electrical, needs a 2	" conduits to accomodate	o for three #10 w	ire. The 3/4"
Our electrical subcommaters for DN 1 303, 382 electrical, freets a 2			
Please provide information on how to reconcile the two different dra	wing		
Lacese broates uncumented on now to lecourate the two different			
Possible rework or extra work involved with this RFT?  Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?	Y N Engineering:	1 Hrs	
Possible rework or extra work involved with this RFT? Delays in project execution involved with this RFT? Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?	N Engineering: Surveying Creek	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI? Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:    Date:	N Engineering: Surveying Creek	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI? Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:    Date:	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  STHER ASSOCIATED DRAWINGS  DMT-260 SHF E157	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:	N Engineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:	N Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1 Reviewed By:	. Hrs	
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  DATE:	N Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1 Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	£/54 A.
Delays in project execution involved with this RFI?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFI?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.    Control Association Premium	Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1   Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	E/54 A.
Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please adviso.  DITTER ASSOCIATED PROMINGS  DNT-260 3HF E157  E160  E165  CONTROL OF SHF 20  Response by Construction Management Proposed  FAX -> MCL-PESCUE E157, Vol.	Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1   Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	E/54 A.
Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time open evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:  Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Da	Regineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1   Reviewed By:   Reviewed By:   Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	€ 154 A.
Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:  Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  D	Regineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1   Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	E 154 A.
Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  DITT- 260 3HF E157  E160  E165  DITT- 265 SHF 20  Response by Construction Management Playaber  Ax -7 (HOR -91N PUT)  ALSO, RA	Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1 Reviewed By:  CIFCUTCUTCUT THE FORE PURPLES  TALLED.	Hrs Hrs	E ISE ALL
Delays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  DITT- 260 3HF E157  E160  E165  DITT- 265 SHF 20  Response by Construction Management Playaber  Ax -7 (HOR -91N PUT)  ALSO, RA	Bugineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1 Reviewed By:  CIFCUTCUTCUT THE FORE PURPLES  TALLED.	Hrs Hrs	E ISE ALLONDON
Dolays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:  Description Association Destruint S.  Description S.  Descripti	Regineering: Surveying Crew Other: 1   Reviewed By:	Hrs Hrs	E ISE ALL
Dolays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:  Description Association Destruint S.  Description S.  Descripti	Reviewed By:    Reviewed By:   Revie	Hrs Hrs	E ISE ALL
Dolays in project execution involved with this RFT?  Estimate of time spent evaluating, finding alternate solution to RFT?  Proposed Solution by Contractor By:  Date:  Please advise.  Date:  Description Association Destruint S.  Description S.  Descripti	Reviewed By:    Reviewed By:   Revie	Hrs Hrs	MINIMUM MALIOTIM ME 124 PM

## FINAL RECORD DRAWING Date: 12/25/99

	NO. REVISION BY DATE							UAIE
	NORTH TEXAS TOLLWAY AUTHORITY  ADDISON AIRPORT TUNNEL  RFI# 194 - 201							
	i	D				DESIGNED		DATE
				<del></del>	NT-260	L	EET	OF