



Formerly Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design (CDCD)

NCTCOG Meeting Notice

Tuesday January 14 9:00 - 11:00 a.m. or Thursday January 16

6:00 - 8:00 p.m.

616 Six Flags Drive Centerpoint Two Transportation Board Room Arlington, Texas



orth Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG)needs your input in developing regional drainage criteria and design guidance.

To address the storm water issues facing the region and in response to certain state and federal regulations, NCTCOG and more than 55 local governments are taking a proactive role in the development of a comprehensive drainage criteria and design manual for North Central Texas. This integrated storm water management (iSWM) manual will be the first of its kind in the region to combine comprehensive storm water management practices for both quantity and quality storm water issues. It will be flexible, yet uniform and will provide guidance applicable to projects of all sizes and locations within the region.

The iSWM manual will outline the most current and applicable storm water management techniques, and provide criteria and rationales for the selection of structural and nonstructural water quality and quantity best management practices. The manual will also include detailed design specifications for these controls, and techniques for integrating storm water quality and quantity considerations. Additionally, an updated NCTCOG manual for BMPs during construction will be coordinated with this manual.

To make this project successful, NCTCOG needs your input regarding what your local government is currently doing and what key issues need to be addressed. Please plan on attending one of the meetings, and bring your existing drainage manuals, ordinances, and criteria to assist with the development of the regional manual criteria.

With the region's combined efforts, the iSWM manual will provide the most up-to-date criteria and options unique to the storm water issues facing the North Central Texas Region. For more information, contact Kenneth Calhoun, 817/695-9224, email kcalhoun@dfwinfo.com or log on to iSWM.dfwinfo.com.



roduced by the North Cer Fexas Council of Governme in cooperation with Region Storm Water Managemer Program participants.



NATURALLY!

DEVELOP

DEVELOP -NATURALLY!

What is Land Development? According to Webster's Dictionary, it is to make the land (the surface of the earth and all its natural resources) suitable for residential or commercial purposes. North Central Texas has been blessed with an abundance of land to develop and a current economy that is second to none. Development is necessary. for the economic viability of this region but, as we are learning, <u>how</u> this "development" occurs has a profound impact on the natural environment.



We are seeing the consequences of our thriving economy and our development practices on the environment we live in. Some of our most precious natural resources - including the Trinity River, our area lakes, and the Ancient Cross Timbers Forest - are being degraded, resulting in impaired water quality and loss of habitat. North Central Texas has a great natural heritage that can be preserved by the use of environmentally sensitive development practices. Many of the practices for minimizing the impact of development can have benefits for the developer in terms of reduced cost and in attracting potential buyers by incorporating features that buyers reportedly consider important when shopping for a home. These practices benefit the eventual residents of the development and the larger community as well.

A natural buffer of trees and vegetation along streams filters storm water before it reaches the waterway and also provides excellent habitat for birds and wildlife. Stream buffers provide natural open space and areas for locating walking/biking paths, both of which are rated as "extremely important" by approximately 75% of recent homebuyers in a national survey. Gardens with native plants, clustered retail stores, wilderness areas, and "interesting little parks" were rated as extremely important by 50% or more of recent homebuyers in the same survey.

Take a look, do some more research, and see how the *Ten Keys to Developing Naturally* can help you meet your objectives and improve our environment. Naturally North Central Texas is going to develop -- so let's do it *naturally*!

Ten Keys to Developing Naturally

1. Maintain existing terrain

Incorporating the development into the existing terrain rather than regrading the site helps preserve tree canopy and other vegetative cover. Maintaining the terrain and natural drainageways helps to reduce pollution and storm flows from developments and provides protection for environmentally sensitive features on the site.

2. Minimize impervious surfaces

Impervious surfaces include roads, parking lots, driveways, and rooftops, that don't allow infiltration of storm water into the ground. The increase in storm water runoff, along with the pollutants the runoff picks up from impervious surfaces, cause major problems for our waterways. Narrower streets and smaller parking lots benefit the environment and can make a development more attractive as well.

3. <u>Build in the least sensitive areas</u>

By building in the least sensitive areas, negative impacts on ecologically valuable features are avoided. Areas that should be preserved include wetlands, floodplains, buffer areas adjacent to streams and lakes, prairies, and stands of mature trees. By increasing the density on the remaining portion of the property, approximately the



same number of building lots or sites can be created compared to "conventional" designs.

4. <u>Provide open space/parks</u>

Natural open space is extremely valuable as wildlife habitat, storm water infiltration

areas, and as protective buffers for ecologically sensitive areas. Just as important, open space serves as an extension of the individual residential lot. Quality open space that provides opportunities for walking, biking, bird watching, and play is extremely popular with homebuyers.

5. <u>Preserve streams and floodplains</u> Natural streams, floodplains, and riparian buffers are vital to the success of natural systems. Buffered with trees and vegetation, natural streams also provide extremely important aesthetic value to neighborhoods and communities. Natural, undeveloped floodplains provide storage for storm flows, minimizing downstream flooding.

6. Direct runoff over vegetated areas

Discharging runoff from roofs, roads, and parking lots into vegetated areas, rather than directly into storm drains offers an opportunity for infiltration of storm water runoff into the ground. Infiltration of storm water runoff reduces both the quantity of water and the amount of pollutants that would otherwise reach a stream or lake. Landscaped and vegetated areas, particularly in commercial and multi-family residential settings, also provide aesthetic value.

7. <u>Use Texas SmartScape plants</u>

Landscaped areas, with all of their benefits, can also contribute to the pollution of streams and lakes if they are not managed properly. Using native plants, and those that have been adapted to the local climate and conditions, decreases the potential for water pollution by reducing the amount of water, pesticides, and fertilizer that must be applied to keep the plants healthy - and saves money. *Texas SmartScape* is an interactive multimedia tool on compact disk that can be used to select native and adapted plants for North Texas (see reference information).

8. <u>Consider ways to reduce car travel</u> Automobiles impact the environment in several ways. Pollutants in car exhaust account for almost one-half of the ozone air pollution in the region. Oil, grease, and metals from cars are washed into streams



and lakes when storm water runs off of roads and parking lots. As the number of cars on the road and congestion increases, more air and water pollution will result. Locating developments near transit facilities, incorporating bicycle and pedestrian trails, and/or including a mix of residential and commercial uses can lead to a reduction in automobile travel.

9. Incorporate storm water treatment controls

Detention and retention ponds, infiltration basins, and oil / grit separators are devices that "treat" storm water runoff before it is discharged into the nearby stream or lake. Treatment controls (except oil / grit separators) should be considered only after all other *Keys to Developing Naturally* have been explored and implemented to the greatest extent possible. In many cases, the need for these "treatment" or "structural" controls can be avoided altogether, or they can be reduced in size if the amount of runoff and entrained pollutants are minimized by the design of the project. Generally, it is cheaper and more effective to implement design elements that prevent or reduce the generation of storm water runoff and/or pollutants at the source.

10. <u>Use site controls to manage litter</u> Litter that ends up in streams and lakes is more than an eyesore, it can affect water utilities, residents, and wildlife. In commercial areas, inlet controls and wind breaks can be very effective in preventing litter and debris from being discharged into our waterways.

Reference Information

For more information and references to design manuals, including the Texas SmartScape CD, please refer to the companion Guide to Developing Naturally. The Guide is available at no charge from most North Texas cities and counties or from the North Central Texas Council of Governments. The Guide can be downloaded from the NCTCOG Storm Water web site at www.dfwstormwater.com. or you may request a copy by calling 817.695.9210. For specific development requirements, contact the development services department in your city.

· · · · ·



Overview of Meeting o Overall Project Update o Manual Content Details o BMP Construction Manual Update o Project Websites

Project Purpose Providing a comprehensive guideline for Integrated Storm Water Management throughout the planning, design, construction and maintenance of storm water infrastructure

3

· · · · · ·

1





. .

.

3

.

...

,

.

.

ť.





ž

- o Up-to-date storm water design criteria
- Guidelines and procedures for planning and design at site and watershed levels
- o Storm water controls and BMPs
- Guidelines for inspection and maintenance

2







- through cross city limits o Improve overall storm water quality throughout the Region
- Level the playing field across the Region
- A regionally-based
 comprehensive drainage
 resource



. .

- A 'How-To' guide in storm water management for all phases of a project
 Up-to-date regulations and policies
- and policies o Standardization of processes
- User-friendly interactive on-line manual



.

- 1

.

<u></u>	L Critic	cal	D)at	te	S										
0	0															
																
-	Taub Kine e	ARL Tram	يا اد سه ا		399	M B	lanı Tee	191 	Son Fae	ocu u	18 سد ا			T ===	-	1 -
1		1	Γ	<u> </u>	[Γ.	·	Γ.	Γ.	17	-	17		-	1-	Γ.
•	Raning of Salary Rannage Markey Carrier Carrier	-	F				<u> </u>							Γ		Γ
3	Farmed and Children of Report Identity	·	<u> </u>									I.	Γ			ŕ
¥		þ				<u> </u>			-					l		
•	Atta Made ye					7	F					•	F	Γ		Γ
•	With Pages Advice Tanta Linearup	Ι	7	Ļ	1	<u>چ</u>	4	5		Ş		<u>.</u>	, <i>i</i>	5.4	<u>ک</u>	
4	Property of Street	<u>}</u>					Γ									
3	Company's Constant	Τ				ŗ		L				<u>, -</u>		57	Ţ	-









,

....

.

. .

.

, •





...

 Developing an Effective Local Stormwater Management Program







۰.

.

.



.....

.

•

- -

7



000 Volume 1 - Policy Guide o Chapter 9 - Information Tools for Local Stormwater Management Overview · Stormwater Management System Inventories · Geographic Information Systems - Global Positioning Systems Remote Sensing

· Computer Models

Volume 1 - Policy Guide

- Appendix A Contact Agenices for Stomwater Management Regulations and Programs
- Appendix B Stormwater Site Plan Review Checklist
- Appendix C Example Stormwater Facility Maintenance Agreement









.*

9

...-



- o Appendix A Rainfall Tables
- o Appendix B Soils Information
- o Appendix C Miscellaneous Specifications,
- Appendix D Structural Stormwater Control Design Examples
- o Appendix E Structural Control Maintenance Checklists
- Appendix F Landscaping and Aesthetics Guidance
- o Appendix G Stormwater Computer Models
- o Appendix H Safe Dams Act (Georgia)



.

,

.

...





10







iNTEGRATED STORM WATER MANAGEMENT (iSWM) Project Review Team

Representation

Drainage/Floodplain Administration Drainage/Floodplain Administration Drainage/Floodplain Administration **Environmental Management Environmental Management** Planning Administration **Planning Administration City Engineering Administration** City Engineering Administration City Engineering Administration **City Engineering Administration City Engineering Administration City Engineering Administration** City Public Works Administration (Chair) City Public Works Administration ???????

Steering Committee (16)

Representative Gordon Scruggs Steve Parker Ed Witkowski Katrina Martich Gene Rattan

David Gattis Tre Dibrell Lee Stimpson Jim Dulac Thom Guillory Stan Ballard Curt Caldwell Don McChesney Larry Barkman

Municipality

City of The Colony City of Dallas City of Denton City of Arlington Tarrant County

City of Benbrook City of Hurst City of Plano City of Richardson City of Garland City of Garland City of Terrell City of Duncanville City of Fort Worth City of Cleburne

Associated Advisors

Federal/State Agencies

US Army Corps of Engineers US Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development Federal Emergency Management Agency Region VI

Professional Associations

Council of Engineering Companies Ft Worth Council of Engineering Companies Dallas Home Builders Association Ft Worth Home Builders Association Dallas Associated General Contractors Highway/Utility Associated General Contractors Quoin American Institute of Architects American Planning Association

Advocacy Organizations

Center for Watershed Protection Low Impact Development Center Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Texas Department of Transportation Texas Parks and Wildlife Texas Water Development Board

Texas Public Works Association American Society of Civil Engineers American Society of Landscape Architects Building Officials Association of Texas Building Owners & Managers Association National Apartment Association Urban Land Institute Water Environment Association of Texas

Private Development Interests

Academia

Project Consultants

Freese and Nichols, Inc. Alan Plummer and Associates AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc. Caffey Engineering, Inc.

Project Coordinator North Central Texas Council of Governments

North Central Texas Council of Governments Construction BMP Manual



The North Central Texas Council of Governments has initiated a project to revise and update the *Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices for Construction Activities* manual. The "Construction BMP Manual", first published in 1993, was developed to be a guide for those preparing or implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for a construction project. The manual is being revised to reflect the requirements of the soon to be released Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) General Permit for Discharges Associated with Construction Activities. In addition, the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) design methodology and the BMPs will be updated to the current state of the art in control of pollutants from construction sites.



A consultant team of Freese and Nichols, Inc. and Alan Plummer Associates will be assisting NCTCOG's Clean Construction Campaign (C3) Team (see box below) and NCTCOG staff on this project. The release of the 3rd Edition of the Construction BMP Manual is anticipated for April/May 2003.

We are looking for input from the users of the Manual to assist in the development of the revised edition. A questionnaire on the Construction BMP Manual can be accessed at <u>www.iswm.dfwinfo.com</u>. Please log on to the site and complete the questionnaire by January 24, 2003 if possible, although we will continue to accept responses after that date. In addition to the survey, we will conduct public meetings to receive comments on a draft of the manual prior to release.

Please contact Jeff Rice, NCTCOG Project Coordinator, at <u>irice@dfwinfo.com</u> or 817-695-9212 if you would like more information on the project to update the NCTCOG Construction BMP Manual.

The Clean Construction Campaign (C3) Team is an advisory group to NCTCOG's Public Works Council. The goal of C3 is to promote clean construction sites through improved communication, cooperation, and commitment, not through more regulations. The C3 Team will address multiple environmental issues related to construction including construction site runoff and waste control, construction and demolition debris recycling; and off-road diesel equipment emissions.



iSWM Manual Public Meeting - 1/14 and 1/16/2003

Purpose: To provided the public a status of the activities completed to dates including component details of the iSWM manual. To introduce the public information/comment website for the iSWM project.

Agenda:

9:00 - 9:05 a.m.	Welcome and Introductions	Kenny Calhoun, NCTCOG
9:05 - 9:30 a.m.	Update on Activities to Date	Kenny Calhoun
9:30- 10:30 a.m.	iSWM Manual Update a. Project update b. Details of Manual Component c. BMP Construction Manual Upd d. Project Website Introduction	Alan Greer, FNI s late
10:30 - 10:50 a.m.	Questions and Answers	NCTCOG/Consultants
10:50 - 11:00 a.m.	Meeting Summary	Kenny Calhoun



ISWM Manual **Comment Form** for Table of Contents Volume 2 (Technical Guidebook)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WARD	
Preface	lii
Acknowledgements	iii
ODUCTION	
Objective of the Manual	۷
Organization of the Manual	v
Users of this Volume	v
How to Use this Volume	vi
Regulatory Status of the Manual	vii
How to Get Printed Copies of the Manual	viii
How to Find the Manual on the Internet	vili
Contact Information	viii
	WARD Preface

CHAPTER 1 – STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND DESIGN

1.1	The Need for Stormwater Management	1.1-1
1.2	Stormwater Management Standards	1.2-1
1.3	Unified Stormwater Sizing Criteria	1.3-1
1.4	Stormwater Better Site Design	1.4-1
1.5	Stormwater Site Planning	1.5-1

COMMENTS:

.

.

CHAPTER 2 – STORMWATER HYDROLOGY

2.1	Methods for Estimating Stormwater Runoff2	.1-1
2.2	Storage Design	.2-1
2.3	Outlet Structures	.3-1

COMMENTS:

CHAPTER 3 – STRUCTURAL STORMWATER CONTROLS

COMMENTS:

CHAPTER 4 – STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

4.1 Stormwater Drainage Design Overview	4.1-1
4.2 Minor Drainage System Design	4.2-1
4.3 Culvert Design	4.3-1
4.4 Open Channel Design	4.4-1
4.5 Energy Dissipation Design	

COMMENTS:

APPENDIX A – RAINFALL TABLES FOR NORTH TEXAS

APPENDIX B – SOILS INFORMATION FOR NORTH TEXAS

APPENDIX C – MISCELLANEOUS SPECIFICATIONS

APPENDIX D – STRUCTURAL STORMWATER CONTROL DESIGN EXAMPLES

- D1 Stormwater Pond
- **D2** Bioretention Area
- D3 Sand Filter
- D4 Infiltration Trench
- D5 Enhanced Swale

APPENDIX E – STRUCTURAL CONTROL MAINTENANCE CHECKLISTS

APPENDIX F - LANDSCAPING AND AESTHETICS GUIDANCE

APPENDIX G -- STORMWATER COMPUTER MODELS

APPENDIX H - NORTH TEXAS SAFE DAMS ACT

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:



ISWM Manual **Comment Form** for Table of Contents – Volume 1 (Policy Guidebook)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD	
Preface	. ix
Acknowledgements	. ix
INTRODUCTION	
Objective of the Manual	xi
Organization of the Manual	xi
Users of this Volume	xi
How to Use this Volume	xii
Regulatory Status of the Manual	xiii
How to Get Printed Copies of the Manual	xiii
How to Find the Manual on the Internet	xifi
Contact Information	xiii
COMMENTS:	

CHAPTER 1, - THE CASE FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

1.1 Impacts of Development and Stormwater Runoff	1-1
1.1.1 Development Changes Land and Runoff	
1.1.2 Changes to Stream Flow	1-2
1.1.3 Changes to Stream Geometry	
1.1.4 Impacts to Aquatic Habitat	1-5
1.1.5 Water Quality Impacts	1-6
1.1.6 Stormwater Hotspots	1-8
1.1.7 Effects on Lakes, Reservoirs and Estuaries	1-9
1.2 Stormwater Impacts on North Texas Communities	
1.3 Addressing Runoff Impacts Through Stormwater Managem	nent 1-12
COMMENTS:	

CHAPTER 2 - REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR NORTH TEXAS COMI	NUNITIES
2.1 Overview	2-1
2.2 Stormwater Quantity and Flooding Prevention Regulations	2-1
2.2.1 National Flood Insurance Program 2.2.2 North Texas Safe Dams Act	2-1 2-1
2.3 Water Quality Regulations	2-3
 2.3.1 Municipal NPDES MS4 Stormwater Permit Program	2-3 2-4 2-4 2-5 2-5 2-5 2-5 2-5 2-6 2-6 2-6 2-6 2-6 2-6 2-7 ct2-7
2.3.14 Coastal Management Program	2-1 2-7
2.3.16 North Texas Greenspace Program	2-8

COMMENTS:

~ ^ /

.

CHAPTER 3 - LOCAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

3.	1 Overview of Local Stormwater Management Programs	3-1
	3.1.1 Introduction	3-1
	3.1.2 Elements of a Comprehensive Local Stormwater -	
	Management Program	3-2
3.	2 Developing an Effective Local Stormwater Management	
	Program	
	3.2.1 Introduction	3-7
	3.2.2 Defining Problems, Program Goals and Requirements	3-7
	3.2.3 Determining Program Components and Priorities	3-8
	3.2.4 Organizing Program Structure and Staffing	3-8
	3.2.5 Funding the Program	3-11
4	3.2.6 Implementing the Program	3-15
	3.2.7 Conclusion	3-15
7881	MENITS.	••

COMMENTS:

-

CHAPTER 4 – IMPLEMENTING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR DEVELOPMENT

4.1	Overvie		4-1
4.2	Minimu	m Standards for Development	
	4.2.1	Introduction	
	4.2.2	Applicability	
	4.2.3	Minimum Stormwater Management Standards	
4.3	Stormw	ater Better Site Design Practices	
	4.3.1	Introduction	
	4.3.2	Suite of Stormwater Better Site Design Practices	
	4.3.3	Implementing Stormwater Better Site Design	

COMMENTS:

		-
4.4 Unified	Stormwater Sizing Criteria	. 4-12
4.4.1	Introduction	. 4-12
4.4.2	Water Quality	. 4-13
4.4.3	Channel Protection	4-14
4.4.4	Overbank Flood Protection	4-15
4.4.5	Extreme Flood Protection	4-15
4.4. Ő	Incorporating the Unified Stormwater Sizing Criteria	
-pref 11.	into Development Requirements	4-16
4.5 Stormy	vater Site Design Credits	. 4-16
4.5.1	Introduction	4-16
4.5,2	Site Design Credit #1: Natural Area Conservation	4-17
4.5.3	Site Design Credit #2: Stream Butters	4-18
4.5.4	Site Design Credit #3: Vegetated Channels	4-18
4.5.5	Site Design Credit #4: Overland Flow Filtration /	
	Groundwater Recharge Zones	4-19
4.5.6	Site Design Credit #5: Environmentally Sensitive	
	Large Lot Subdivisions	4-19
4.5.7	Implementing Stormwater Site Design Credits	4-20
46 Downs	tream Accessments	4-20
461	Introduction	1_20
4.0.1	Research for Downstream Problems	1-20
163	Downetroam Accessments: The "Ton-Dercont" Pule	A_01
4.0.5	Adopting a Downstream Assessment Poquiromopt	4.22
- 7 .Ų. 4	Adopting a pownetteant Assessment Requirement,	
COMMENTS:	· · ·	
	•	

.

,

4.7 Guidance on Structural Stormwater Controls4-22
 4.7.1 Introduction
4.8 Stormwater Management Site Plans
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CHAPIER 5 - WATERSHED-BASED STORMWATER PLANNING
5.1 Stormwater Master Planning
5.2 Comprehensive Watershed Planning for North Texas Communities 5-3
5.2.1 Introduction 5-3 5.2.2 Scale of Watershed Management 5-3 5.2.3 The Watershed Planning Process 5-4
 5.3 Integration of Site and Watershed-Level Stormwater Planning
5.4 Inter-jurisdictional Watershed Planning
5.5 Implementation of Watershed Plans 5-15 5.5.1 Introduction 5-15 5.5.2 Tools of Watershed Management and Protection 5-15 5.5.3 Stakeholder Involvement Techniques 5-18 5.5.4 Cost (Budget) 5-18 5.5.5 Performance Monitoring and Assessment 5-19
5.6 Regional vs. On-site Stormwater Management 5-19 5.6.1 Introduction 5-19 5.6.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Regional 5-20 Stormwater Controls 5-20 5.6.3 Important Considerations for the Use of Regional 5-21
COMMANTAITC.

COMMENTS:

*

CHAPTER 6 – FI	OODPLAIN MANAGEMENT	,
6.1 Local F 6.1.1 6.1.2	Ioodplain Management and Stormwater Management Introduction Floodplain Management Goals	 6-1 6-1 6-1
6.2 Nation 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.3	al Flood Insurance Program Background NFIP Program Requirements Shortcomings of the NFIP	6-2 6-2 6-4
6.3 Strateg 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 COMMENTS:	ies and Tools for Improved Floodplain Management Introduction Developing an Effective Local Floodplain Management Program Watershed / Inter-jurisdictional Issues	6-4 6-4 6-4 6-7

CHAPTER 7 - STORMWATER SYSTEM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

71 Local S	tormwater Operations and Maintenanc	Programe	7_1
7.1.1	Introduction	and a second second	7-1
7.1.2	Key Maintenance Program Components	,	7-1
7.2 Implem	enting An Effective Operations and Ma	intenance	
Progra	Im	" " " " " 学学学生" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	7-3
7.2.1	Maintenance Responsibility	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7-3
7.2.2	Level of Service	******	7-4
7.2.3	Establishing Maintenance Responsibility	and Level	; *
	of Service Policies		7-5
7.2.4	Maintenance Agreements		7-6
7.2.5	Education	**********	7-6
7.2.6	Periodic Review of Regulations and Proc	edures	7- 7
7.3 Stormw	vater Retrofitting		7-7
7.3.1	Introduction	**************************************	7-7
7.3.2	Stormwater Retrofitting Process		7-8
7.3.3	Types of Retrofitting Techniques	**********	7-10
OMMENTS:	•		

С

	VENTION PROGRAMS
8.1 Stormwater Pollution Prevention	8-1
8.1.1 Introduction	
8.1.2 Local Stormwater Pollution Pre	vention Activities8-1
COMMENTS:	
	<u></u>
	·
<u>ر</u> ٠٠	
	<i>,</i>
HAPTER 9 – INFORMATION TOOLS FOR LO MANAGEMENT	CAL STORMWATER
9.1 Overview	
9.2 Stormwater Management System Invo	entories9-1
9.2.1 Introduction	
9.2.2 Organizing Information	
9.2.3 Conducting the Inventory	.8
9.3 Geographic Information Systems	
9.3.1 Introduction	
9.3.2 GIS Components	
9.3.3 GIS Functions	
9.3.4 Use of GIS in Stormwater Mana	gement9-6
9.3.5 Other Related Technologies	
9.4 Global Positioning Systems	
9.4.1 Introduction	9 -8
	r9-9
9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater	
9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	
9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwate 9.5 Remote Sensing 9.5.1 Introduction	
9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwate 9.5 Remote Sensing 9.5.1 Introduction 9.5.2 Digital Orthophoto Quarter Quad	
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 drangles
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 drangles
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 9-9 9-10 r Stormwater
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 drangles
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 drangles
 9.4.2 GPS Applications to Stormwater 9.5 Remote Sensing	9-9 9-9 9-9 1 rangles

~

APPENDIX A – CONTACT AGENCIES FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS AND PROGRAMS

х.,

, ,

~

APPENDIX B – STORMWATER SITE PLAN REVIEW CHECKLISTS.

APPENDIX C – EXAMPLE STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

REFERENCES

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

The state of the second st

. ÷, `

Ś

.

-, ¹

a name a suare 1

×

:

× . . .

୍କ୍ତ

Volume 1 (Policy Guidebook)

.

gaster paraless

شغر بور برونه برونه

.

100

-5

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.1-1	Summary of Urban Stormwater Pollutants 1-7
CHAPTER 2	
2.1-1	Regulatory Framework for Local Stormwater Management in North Texas
2.3-1	Minimum Criteria for the Protection of Water Supply Watersheds in North Texas
CHAPTER 3	
-3.1-1	Types of Local Ordinances Used to Support Stormwater Management Activities 3-4
3.2-1	Stormwater Management Functions Areas and Program Components
3.2-2	Example of Stormwater Program Elements and Potentially Responsible
3.2-3	Advantages and Disadvantages of Program Funding Approaches 3-13
CHAPTER 4	and the second
4.3-1	Questionnaire for Reviewing Local Development Regulations to Evaluate
4.4-1	Compatibility with Stormwater Better Site Design Practices
4.5-1	Control and Mitigation
4.7-1	Stormwater Credits
CHAPTER 5	
5.2-1 5.2-2	Description of the Various Watershed Management Units
5.5-1 5.5-3	Land Use Planning Techniques
CHAPTER 6	
6.3-1	Approaches to Effective Local Floodplain Management
CHAPTER 9	
9.2-1	Infrastructure Information and Uses9-3

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1

1.1-1	Typical Changes in Land Surface for a Commercial Site	1-1
1.1-2	Changes in Hydrology and Runoff Due to Development	1-2
1.1-3	Impervious Cover Increases Stormwater Runoff and Pollutants	1-2
1.1-4	Increased Runoff Peaks and Volumes Increase Stream Flows and	
	Flooding	1-3
1.1-5	Hydrograph under Pre-and Post Development Conditions	1-3
1.1-6	Example of Stream Channel Bank Erosion	1-4
1.1-7	Changes to a Stream's Physical Character due to Watershed	
	Development	1-4
1.1-8	Impacts to Aquatic Habitat Can Eliminate Sensitive Fish Species and	
	Other Aquatic Organisms	1-5
1.1-9	Causes of Water Quality Impairment in North Texas	
1-6		
1.1-10	Trash and Debris Impact the Visual and Recreational Value of	
	Waterbodies 1	1-8
1.1-11	Gas Stations are an Example of Potential Stormwater Hotspots 1	1-9
1.2-1	Flooding Endangers Human Life and Property 1	1-10
1.2-2	Water Quality Problems Due to Runoff Impact Drinking Water Supplies	
	and Recreational Use of Streams, Rivers, Lakes and Beaches.	-10
1.2-3	Waterfronts are an Important Resource to North Texas Communities that	
	Should be Protected from the Effects of Polluted Stormwater	-11
1.2-4	Polluted Stormwater Runoff Impacts Sport and Commercial Fisheries	
	and Shellfish Harvesting	-11
1.3-1	The "Umbrella" of Comprehensive Stormwater Management	-12
	anna an ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an a	

CHAPTER 4

4.1-1	Typical Stormwater Management System Design Process	4-2
4.3-1	Comparison of a Traditional Residential Subdivision Design (above) and	
	And Innovative Site Plan Developed Using Better Site Design Practices	
	(below)	4-7
4.3-2	Comparison of a Traditional Office Park Design (above) and an	
	Innovative Site Plan Developed Using Better Site Design Practices and	
	Techniques (below)	.4-8
4.4-1	Representation of the Unified Stormwater Sizing Criteria	. 4-13
4.6-1	Detention Timing Example	.4-20
4.6-2	Effect of Increased Post-Development Runoff Volume with Detention on	
•	a Downstream Hydrograph	. 4-21

CHAPTER 5

5.2-1	Watershed Management Units	5-4
5.2-2	Example of a Watershed Map with Subwatersheds Delineated	5-5
5.2.3	Use of Monitoring Data to Identify Problems and Issues	5-6
5.4-1	Big Haynes Creek Watershed	5-14
5.6-1	On-site Versus Regional Stormwater Management	5-20

CHAPTER 6		. '
6.2-1	Floodplain Definitions	6-3
6.2-2	Examples of a FEMA Flood Risk Map	. 6-3
CHAPTER 9		
9.3-1	Example of Overlay Analysis	.9-6
9.3-2	Automated Hydrologic Modeling	. 9-7
9.3-3	Use of a GIS to Map Current and Potential Floodplains & Flood Prone	
	Homes	.9-7
9.5-1	Low, Medium and High Resolution Satellite Imagery	9.9
9.5-2	Example DOQQ	.9-11



North Central Texas Council of Governments Construction BMP Manual



The North Central Texas Council of Governments has initiated a project to revise and update the *Storm Water Quality Best Management Practices for Construction Activities* manual. The "Construction BMP Manual", first published in 1993, was developed to be a guide for those preparing or implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for a construction project. The manual is being revised to reflect the requirements of the soon to be released Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) General Permit for Discharges Associated with Construction Activities. In addition, the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) design methodology and the BMPs will be updated to the current state of the art in control of pollutants from construction sites.



A consultant team of Freese and Nichols, Inc. and Alan Plummer Associates will be assisting NCTCOG's Clean Construction Campaign (C3) Team (see box below) and NCTCOG staff on this project. The release of the 3rd Edition of the Construction BMP Manual is anticipated for April/May 2003.

We are looking for input from the users of the Manual to assist in the development of the revised edition. A questionnaire on the Construction BMP Manual can be accessed at <u>www.iswm.dfwinfo.com</u>. Please log on to the site and complete the questionnaire by January 24, 2003 if possible, although we will continue to accept responses after that date. In addition to the survey, we will conduct public meetings to receive comments on a draft of the manual prior to release.

Please contact Jeff Rice, NCTCOG Project Coordinator, at <u>irice@dfwinfo.com</u> or 817-695-9212 if you would like more information on the project to update the NCTCOG Construction BMP Manual.

The Clean Construction Campaign (C3) Team is an advisory group to NCTCOG's Public Works Council. The goal of C3 is to promote clean construction sites through improved communication, cooperation, and commitment, not through more regulations. The C3 Team will address multiple environmental issues related to construction including construction site runoff and waste control, construction and demolition debris recycling; and off-road diesel equipment emissions.



SUBJECT: Follow-up on Request for Comprehensive Drainage Criteria & Design Project Participation

Support for the Comprehensive Drainage Criteria & Design Project is rolling in! It is early in the process, but firm commitment to participate has been received so far from cities such as Denton, Wylie, Little Elm, Addison, Mansfield, and Stephenville. Indication of participation pending final budget approval has been received from Dallas County and the cities of Plano, Fort Worth, and Grand Prairie. Support is even coming from outside our region, as the City of Sherman is interested in being a player. The regulatory community is also taking notice as indicated in the attached letter from Steve Ligon, Storm Water & General Permits Team Leader for the Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission (TNRCC). Mr. Ligon states, "This [CDCD Manual] will be an effective tool for local governments and the development industry in addressing the impacts of post-construction storm water runoff."

We are fully aware that these are tough budget times but this is a unique and timely opportunity to develop a tool for this region that will be rivaled only by the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction in its usefulness and universal applicability to the region. There is significant cost savings to be achieved through regional cooperation. Individual jurisdictions would expend many times more to develop such a manual, or even to just upgrade their existing drainage criteria to incorporate runoff quality considerations required by storm water regulations. As an additional benefit, participating jurisdictions will get discounted manuals and training opportunities.

Other benefits derived from participating in this cooperative project are:

- Long term cost savings to the jurisdiction
- State of the art guidance and technologies
- · Consistent drainage assumptions and criteria throughout the region
- · Improved drainage designs and management
- Reduced flooding risks
- Easier compliance with regulatory permits (TPDES and 404)
- Enhanced quality of life from "greener" drainage policies
- Reduced staff time to review and approve drainage plans
- Better management of storm water from neighboring communities
- Regional training opportunities for city staff and the development community

We are hosting a project briefing on August 1 from 10:30 to 11:45 am at the NCTCOG offices at 616 Six Flags Drive, Suite 200, in Arlington. There will be an in-depth presentation on the manual by the consultant team and an opportunity to have any questions that you might have answered. In the interim, additional information including a brochure, presentation, and participation/funding commitment response forms are available at <u>www.dfwworks.com</u>. Also, in an effort to establish a regional baseline, please send us your existing drainage criteria and design guidelines as we are compiling them to submit to the consultant team.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Please contact Kenneth Calhoun, Development Engineering Specialist, at 817-695-9224 or <u>kcalhoun@dfwinfo.com</u> for additional information.

616 Six Flags Drive, Centerpoint Two P. O. Box 5888, Arlington, Texas 76005-5888 (817) 640-3300 FAX: 817-640-7806 Or recycled paper http://www.dfwinfo.com Project Briefing Thursday, August 1, 2002 10:30–11:45 a.m. NCTCOG Offices 616 Six Flags Drive Arlington, TX 76011 Robert J. Huston, *Chairman* R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, *Commissioner* Kathleen Hartnett White, *Commissioner* Jeffrey A. Saitas, *Executive Director*



TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

July 8, 2002

Mr. John Promise, P.E. Director of Environmental Resources North Central Texas Council of Governments P.O. Box 5888 Arlington, Texas 76005-5888

Re: Proposed Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual

Dear Mr. Promise:

Thank you for sharing information on the Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual, as proposed by the North Central Texas Council of Governments. This will be an effective tool for local governments and the development industry in addressing the impacts of post-construction storm water runoff. The proposal to manage flood control concurrent with the quality of runoff, and to provide a consistent and integrated approach on a regional or watershed basis, is a much desired outcome of any storm water management plan.

Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) storm water permits for municipal storm sewer systems will contain the requirement that operators of these systems develop a minimum control measure for areas of new development and re-development. The TPDES general permit for Phase II systems will be issued by December 2002. Development of the proposed manual, consistent with the requirements of this permit, will result in a valuable tool for permittees.

If I can provide you any information or assistance regarding the TPDES storm water permitting program, do not hesitate to contact me directly at (512) 239-4527.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Ligon, Team Leader Storm Water & General Permits Wastewater Permitting Section

SML/mam































































Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design What it is and why you should participate...

The Purpose: Unprecedented new development along with aging and often inadequate infrastructure has intensified problems with downstream erosion and flooding. Since these problems are multi-jurisdictional, the region-wide variation in drainage



design criteria hinders effective management. Additionally, concerns about the impact of storm water runoff quality to surface and groundwater supplies, recreational rivers and lakes, aquatic habitats and ecosystems, as well as TPDES storm water permit rules, are making it necessary for local governments to address both storm water quantity and quality for areas of new development or redevelopment. Comprehensive guidance in integrated storm water management throughout planning, design, construction, and maintenance of storm water infrastructures is currently nonexistent in North Central Texas.

What is Required?

The TPDES regulations require the operator of a regulated MS4 to develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff to their MS4 from construction and post construction activities. The Project: In response to the regulations and to address the issues facing the region, the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) has taken a leading role in the development of a comprehensive drainage criteria and design manual for

the Region. This manual will detail the most current and applicable storm water management techniques, provide criteria and rationales for the selection of structural and non-structural water quantity and quality Best Management Practices (BMPs). The manual will also include detailed design specifications for these controls, and techniques for integrating storm water quality and quantity considerations. Essential aspects of the manual include:

- Storm water goals, policies and planning
- Integration of storm water quantity and quality considerations
- Storm water design criteria for hydrology and hydraulics
- Guidelines and procedures for storm water planning and design at both site and watershed level
- Catalog of storm water controls and BMPs, and how they can be applied within the Region
- Construction specifications for materials and workmanship
- Guidelines for inspection and maintenance requirements for each control and BMP

The Benefits: The regional Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual will provide:

- A comprehensive drainage resource with guidelines to manage and control both storm water quality and quantity that are tailored for our Region
- A "How-To" guide to provide assistance in storm water management during the planning, design, construction, inspection and maintenance stages
- Updates of the latest regulatory changes
- A cost effective and consistent approach to ordinance development
- Expedited design, development and construction processes
- Standardization of processes across the Region
- Easy-to-use training and education plan
- User friendly, interactive website for immediate access to manual guidelines and examples
- A needed resource developed in a cooperative and cost-effective manner

The Critical Dates: The first and most

critical phase is to prepare the "Draft" manual. The following is a summary of the critical dates

- Gain commitment of funding from local governments and stakeholders – Summer 2002
- Continue public outreach and education on storm water issues Ongoing
- Obtain first year funding for project September 2002
- Research and compile existing drainage ordinances and manuals – September 2002
- MS4 jurisdictions reference NCTCOG CDCD Manual as a post construction measure for Phase II permits – March 2003
- Manual development October 2002 to September 2003
- Final "Draft" Manual to Cities September 2003
- Final CDCD Manual September 2004
- Implementation and adoption of manual Ongoing
- Implementation and development of training, educations and regional concepts Ongoing

The Plan: The CDCD Manual has been proposed as a multi-phased plan as shown:



Your Storm water Solution: The CDCD Manual is a comprehensive manual to be developed to meet the specific regional needs of local governments in North Central Texas. We need your input and funding assistance in making this vital project successful. For more information on this project, please contact NCTCOG project manager, Kenneth Calhoun at 817/695-9224 or at kenny@dfwinfo.com.

FY2003 FUN	DING COMMITMENT RESPONSE FORM
Including (Regional Public Works Program Comprehensive Drainage Criteria & Design Manual
I hereby indicat Program. Please	te my entity's commitment to participate in the Regional Public Works e bill me in FY2003 for the amount of \$
I hereby indicate	e my entity will not participate in the Regional Public Works Program.
I am still consid and need addition	dering my entity's participation in the Regional Public Works Program onal information as indicated below.
	Name
Municipality or Co	ompany
Title or P	Position
Si	gnature
Comments:	
Please mail this form to:	Kenneth Calhoun Department of Environmental Resources
	North Central Texas Council of Governments
	P.O. Box 5888 Arlington, TX 76005-5888
or fax to the attention of K	Cenneth Calhoun at 817/695-9191
Questions? Kenneth Calh	oun at 817/695-9224 or Sam Brush at 817/695-9213

Cost Share Allocations

Attached is a table of cost share allocations for MS4 jurisdictions. Those not found on the attached table are requested to participate in the amount of \$2353.00.

Invoices will be sent in October based on commitment forms received.

~

Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual

Fiscal Year 2003 Program Participation Cost Share MS4 Jurisdictions and Cities over 10,000 Population

	Population			FY 2003
Jurisdiction	2001 (Current)	2025 (Projected)	(Acres)	Cost Share (\$)
Addison	14,623	22,300	2,833	5,587
Allen	48,397	89,000	16,853	8,944
Arlington	338,127	438,500	63,363	22,009
Azle	9,660	13,750	5,274	5,596
Balch Springs	19,444	22,650	5,160	5,759
Bedford	47,309	55,400	6,405	6,657
Benbrook	20,268	25,900	7,713	6,125
Blue Mound	2,388	2,400	344	4,792
Burleson	22,510	49,050	12,610	7,444
Carrollton	111,388	128,700	23,447	10,082
Cedar Hill	33,954	69,150	22,550	9,015
Cleburne	26,604	27,850	19,492	7,290
Cockrell Hill	4,447	3,600	371	4,806
Colleyville	19,831	37,550	8,382	6,632
Collin County				4,706
Coppell	36,845	38,650	9,530	6,519
Corinth	13,455	18,000	5,057	5,672
Corsicana	24,571	24,571	13,891	6,633
Crowley	7,594	14,150	4,256	5,541
Dallas	1,199,809	1,264,750	246,347	57,480
Dallas County				4,706
Dalworthington Gardens	2,218	5,450	· 1,187	4,993
Denton	82,976	132,700	39,879	12,347
Denton County				4,706
DeSoto	38,214	61,100	13,809	7,763
Double Oak	2,229	5,950	1,396	5,032
Duncanville	36,206	36,050	7,224	6,199
Edgecliff Village	2,555	3,150	762	4,859
Ennis	16,200	21,000	11,771	6,419
Euless	46,166	62,550	10,407	7,346
Everman	5,839	5,800	1,269	4,957
Farmers Branch	27,527	29,500	7,687	6,141
Flower Mound	52,604	88,650	27,640	9,957
Forest Hill	12,949	13,550	2,717	5,278
Fort Worth	542,504	675,600	191,252	40,505
Frisco	42,511	130,450	44,818	13,408
Garland	218,891	242,050	36,544	13,903
Grand Prairie	131,393	193,400	52,175	15,074
Grapevine	42,443	43,650	22,942	7,973
Greenville	24,163	24,163	22,208	7,467
Haltom City	39,297	38,250	7,941	6,304
Hickory Creek	2,269	5,050	2,941	5,155

Jurisdiction 2001 (Current) 2025 (Projected) Alter (Acres) Cost Sha (Acres) Highland Village 12,391 19,500 4,101 Hurst 36,452 39,300 6,337 Hurst 36,452 39,300 6,337 Hurst 28,05 2,650 5,515 Living 194,407 228,850 43,300 Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Lexencedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 1,075 968 Laneaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Maasfield 22,777 73,200 23,365 Mekinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midothian 8,340 151,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 16,966 13,553		Population		À ran	FY 2003	
Highland Park 8,794 9,050 1,433 Highland Village 12,391 19,500 4,101 Hurst 36,452 39,300 6,337 Hitchins 2,805 2,650 5,515 Irving 194,407 228,850 43,300 Keiter 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennecala 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 9,075 9,068 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,069 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 38,986 12,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Midothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mideral Welts 16,560 13,573 11,666 Panego 24,	Jurisdiction	2001 (Current)	2025 (Projected)	(Acres)	Cost Share (\$)	
Highland Village 12,391 19,500 4,101 Hutsti 36,452 39,300 6,337 Hutshins 2,805 2,650 5,515 Irving 194,407 228,850 43,300 Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Daltas 6,229 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 MokKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Miserat Welts 16,690 16,960 13,553 Mothaliand Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 22,676 10,000 18,279 Richardson <t< td=""><td>Highland Park</td><td>8,794</td><td>9,050</td><td>1,433</td><td>5,047</td></t<>	Highland Park	8,794	9,050	1,433	5,047	
Harst 36,452 39,300 6,337 Hutchins 2,805 2,650 5,515 Irving 194,407 228,859 43,300 Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Dallas 6,289 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,215 Lawe Worth 1,075 1,063 2,708 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 Mesquite 12,6570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960	Highland Village	12,391	19,500	4,101	5,647	
Hutchins 2,805 2,650 5,515 Irving 194,407 228,850 43,300 Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 1,075 968 Lancaster 2,6,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,886 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 22,697 101,000 18,779 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richland Hills	Hurst	36,452	39,300	6,337	6,226	
Irving 194,407 228,850 43,300 Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Dallas 6,229 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Laneaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Massfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,660 13,553 55 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panlego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,656 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall County	Hutchins	2,805	2,650	5,515	5,318	
Keller 28,058 58,750 11,798 Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Dallas 6,289 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 1,075 968 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Maasfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,333 Mesquite 126,670 168,100 27,811 Milothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Welts 16,660 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panego 2,4432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 9,2697 101,000 18,279 Rockwall 11,8934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 13,290	Irving	194,407	228,850	43,300	14,487	
Kennedale 5,982 14,000 3,865 Lake Dallas 6,289 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 968 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,896 125,600 37,353 McSquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 16,960 13,533 North Richand Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panlego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richard Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934	Keller	28,058	58,750	11,798	7,634	
Lake Dallas 6,289 7,100 1,677 Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lakeside 1,075 1,075 968 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Misequite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 15,950 11,666 Panlego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richard Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,226 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park	Kennedale	5,982	14,000	3,865	5,521	
Lake Worth 4,629 5,050 1,614 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Marsfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Welts 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,640 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 22,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Rockwall County Rowlett 45,643 72,350 1,275	Lake Dallas	6,289	7,100	1,677	5,039	
Lakeside 1,075 1,075 968 Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,333 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 18,934 72,350 12,954 Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290	Lake Worth	4,629	5,050	1,614	4,983	
Lancaster 26,270 29,350 18,751 Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Micsquite 126,570 168,160 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,640 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,992 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southake 22,806	Lakeside	1,075	1,075	968	4,827	
Lewisville 80,609 110,050 27,089 Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Rockwall 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 28,543 72,350 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville	Lancaster	26,270	29,350	18,751	7,276	
Mansfield 29,777 73,200 23,365 McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Panego 2,432 3,750 636 Panego 2,640 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Rockwall 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Rockwall 8,132 11,650 2,015 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall 18,8934 32,650 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904	Lewisville	80,609	110,050	27,089	10,249	
McKinney 58,986 125,600 37,353 Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richland Hills 8,132 11,656 2,015 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall County	Mansfield	29,777	73,200	23,365	9,314	
Mesquite 126,570 168,100 27,811 Midlothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richardson 92,697 10,000 18,279 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	McKinney	58,986	125,600	37,353	12,210	
Midothian 8,340 19,100 24,249 Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County Rowlett 45,643 72,350 ,12,954 Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 14,954 Terrell 13,606 13,60	Mesquite	126,570	168,100	27,811	11,744	
Mineral Wells 16,960 13,553 North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 Richardson 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	Midlothian	8,340	19,100	24,249	7,738	
North Richland Hills 57,498 82,750 11,666 Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	Mineral Wells	16,960	16,960	13,553	6,438	
Pantego 2,432 3,750 636 Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	North Richland Hills	57,498	82,750	11,666	8,042	
Plano 226,460 312,750 45,831 Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	Pantego	2,432	3,750	6 36	4,871	
Richardson 92,697 101,000 18,279 Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	Plano	226,460	312,750	45,831	17,346	
Richland Hills 8,132 11,650 2,015 River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County 72,350 , 12,954 Rowlett 45,643 72,350 , 12,954 Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Farrant County	Richardson	92,697	101,000	18,279	8,829	
River Oaks 7,010 6,250 1,275 Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	Richland Hills	8,132	11,650	2,015	5,213	
Rockwall 18,934 34,650 14,492 Rockwall County	River Oaks	7,010	6,250	1,275	4,955	
Rockwall County 45,643 72,350 12,954 Rowlett 45,643 72,350 6,330 Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Rockwall	18,934	34,650	14,492	7,158	
Rowlett 45,643 72,350 12,954 Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Rockwall County				4,706	
Sachse 10,864 28,750 6,330 Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Rowlett	45,643	72,350	, 12,954	7,975	
Saginaw 13,290 24,100 4,801 Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Sachse	10,864	28,750	6,330	6,241	
Sansom Park 4,181 3,950 792 Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Saginaw	13,290	24,100	4,801	5,875	
Seagoville 10,904 21,500 10,425 Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Sansom Park	4,181	3,950	792	4,866	
Southlake 22,806 32,550 14,363 Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Seagoville	10,904	21,500	10,425	6,386	
Stephenville 14,956 14,956 6,428 Tarrant County	Southlake	22,806	32,550	14,363	7,005	
Tarrant County Image: Constraint County Terrell 13,606 13,606 11,934 The Colony 28,841 45,900 10,068 University Park 23,218 20,000 2,385 Watauga 22,101 28,550 2,667 Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Stephenville	14,956	14,956	6,428	5,674	
Terrell 13,606 13,606 11,934 The Colony 28,841 45,900 10,068 University Park 23,218 20,000 2,385 Watauga 22,101 28,550 2,667 Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Tarrant County				4,706	
The Colony 28,841 45,900 10,068 University Park 23,218 20,000 2,385 Watauga 22,101 28,550 2,667 Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Terrell	13,606	13,606	11,934	6,203	
University Park 23,218 20,000 2,385 Watauga 22,101 28,550 2,667 Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	The Colony	28,841	45,900	10,068	6,969	
Watauga 22,101 28,550 2,667 Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	University Park	23,218	20,000	2,385	5,320	
Waxahachie 21,609 34,900 26,344 Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Watauga	22,101	28,550	2,667	5,683	
Weatherford 19,381 32,450 14,498 Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Waxahachie	21,609	34,900	26,344	8,325	
Westworth Village 2,124 2,050 1,266 White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Weatherford	19,381	32,450	14,498	7,070	
White Settlement 14,958 16,250 3,118	Westworth Village	2,124	2,050	1,266	4.876	
	White Settlement	14,958	16,250	3.118	5,386	
Wilmer 3.393 2.800 4.028	Wilmer	3.393	2.800	4.028	5.164	
Wylie 16,711 29,200 21,308	Wylie	16,711	29.200	21.308	7.681	

٠

* 2025 Projected population estimates unavailable, amount shown same as 2001 population.



North Central Texas Council Of Governments

TO: The North Central Texas Region

DATE: July 22, 2002

FROM: Mike Eastland, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Follow-up on Request for Comprehensive Drainage Criteria & Design Project Participation

Support for the Comprehensive Drainage Criteria & Design Project is rolling in! It is early in the process, but firm commitment to participate has been received so far from cities such as Denton, Wylie, Little Elm, Addison, Mansfield, and Stephenville. Indication of participation pending final budget approval has been received from Dallas County and the cities of Plano, Fort Worth, and Grand Prairie. Support is even coming from outside our region, as the City of Sherman is interested in being a player. The regulatory community is also taking notice as indicated in the attached letter from Steve Ligon, Storm Water & General Permits Team Leader for the Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission (TNRCC). Mr. Ligon states, "This [CDCD Manual] will be an effective tool for local governments and the development industry in addressing the impacts of post-construction storm water runoff."

We are fully aware that these are tough budget times but this is a unique and timely opportunity to develop a tool for this region that will be rivaled only by the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction in its usefulness and universal applicability to the region. There is significant cost savings to be achieved through regional cooperation. Individual jurisdictions would expend many times more to develop such a manual, or even to just upgrade their existing drainage criteria to incorporate runoff quality considerations required by storm water regulations. As an additional benefit, participating jurisdictions will get discounted manuals and training opportunities.

Other benefits derived from participating in this cooperative project are:

- Long term cost savings to the jurisdiction
- State of the art guidance and technologies
- Consistent drainage assumptions and criteria throughout the region
- Improved drainage designs and management
- Reduced flooding risks
- Easier compliance with regulatory permits (TPDES and 404)
- Enhanced quality of life from "greener" drainage policies
- Reduced staff time to review and approve drainage plans
- Better management of storm water from neighboring communities
- Regional training opportunities for city staff and the development community

We are hosting a project briefing on August 1 from 10:30 to 11:45 am at the NCTCOG offices at 616 Six Flags Drive, Suite 200, in Arlington. There will be an in-depth presentation on the manual by the consultant team and an opportunity to have any questions that you might have answered. In the interim, additional information including a brochure, presentation, and participation/funding commitment response forms are available at <u>www.dfwworks.com</u>. Also, in an effort to establish a regional baseline, please send us your existing drainage criteria and design guidelines as we are compiling them to submit to the consultant team.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Please contact Kenneth Calhoun, Development Engineering Specialist, at 817-695-9224 or <u>kcalhoun@dfwinfo.com</u> for additional information.

Project Briefing Thursday, August 1, 2002 10:30 - 11:45 a.m. NCTCOG Offices

616 Six Flags Drive Ariington, TX 76011

pluse tale core of

Robert J. Huston, *Chairman* R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, *Commissioner* Kathleen Hartnett White, *Commissioner* Jeffrey A. Saitas, *Executive Director*



TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

July 8, 2002

, **`**

Mr. John Promise, P.E. Director of Environmental Resources North Central Texas Council of Governments P.O. Box 5888 Arlington, Texas 76005-5888

Re: Proposed Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual

Dear Mr. Promise:

Thank you for sharing information on the Comprehensive Drainage Criteria and Design Manual, as proposed by the North Central Texas Council of Governments. This will be an effective tool for local governments and the development industry in addressing the impacts of post-construction storm water runoff. The proposal to manage flood control concurrent with the quality of runoff, and to provide a consistent and integrated approach on a regional or watershed basis, is a much desired outcome of any storm water management plan.

Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) storm water permits for municipal storm sewer systems will contain the requirement that operators of these systems develop a minimum control measure for areas of new development and re-development. The TPDES general permit for Phase II systems will be issued by December 2002. Development of the proposed manual, consistent with the requirements of this permit, will result in a valuable tool for permittees.

If I can provide you any information or assistance regarding the TPDES storm water permitting program, do not hesitate to contact me directly at (512) 239-4527.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Ligon, Team Leader Storm Water & General Permits Wastewater Permitting Section

SML/mam