

## Addison Cotton Belt Transit Center

- I. Introduction
  - a. What
  - b. Where
  - c. How
  - d. Why
- II. Funding
- III. Layout and Arial Photos

As it has been said many times a picture is worth a thousand words. What we are proposing is to move forward with the planning and design of the Addison Cotton Belt Transit Center. Last year we approached the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) to acquire a grant sufficient enough to prepare a Transit Center Plan to use as marketing, sales and negotiating tool to encourage inclusion the DART 2030 Transportation Plan. Unfortunately the grant request was denied. The feeling was that this was a tremendous idea but should be funded from other municipal sources.

The Location of the Transit Center has already been established. Property currently owned by the Town of Addison and the DART Bus Transit Center is the ideal location, bounded by Arapaho Road to the South, Quorum Road to the East, Addison Road to the West and the Cotton Belt Rail Line to the North. This area is key piece to the overall development of the Addison Circle District and the newly proposed Belt Line Road Reinvention Project.

It is our contention that the idea of "Master Planning" the Transit Center Site, even though the grant was denied by NCTCOG, is still a key element to the overall acceptance of the Addison Cotton Belt Project and a show of commitment to create the type and quality project that the Town of Addison has become known. The question is HOW, without the NCTCOG grant, could we fund such an endeavor.

······ ········ ·······

÷

During the last few months the Public Works Department has been perusing Grand Funds from Dallas County for specific "Quiet Zone" crossings (see attached doc) that are currently being funded out of the DART LAP fund...approximately \$670,000. If we are successful in acquiring this grant from Dallas County we would recommend the immediate redirection of the LAP funds to commission the development of a Master Plan for the Addison Cotton Belt Transit Center.

# Process:

The plan development process would be similar to the design and development of the Arapaho Road Bridge and the Arts and Events Center. This project would include resources and input from Public Works, Parks and Recreation and Development Services.

ł

As we have on other projects, Architectural, landscaping, transportation efficiency, and pedestrian features should be key elements of the overall design.

We should know within the next ?????weeks/months if our quiet zone grant has been approved. If and when this grant is approved we would recommend immediately identifying an Architect to begin the process.



#### **Planning for the Future**

Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) is in the process of updating its long-range Transit System Plan (TSP). The TSP provides a vision for future capital and operating programs in the DART Service Area, which includes 13 member cities. The current TSP has a horizon year of 2010. Most projects in the current TSP have been constructed and are in operation, or are in various phases of design or planning to support implementation before the year 2020. The 2030 TSP update will look beyond the current programmed transit network to identify and prioritize the next generation of rail, bus, highoccupancy vehicle, and other supporting mobility programs to grow the region's multi-modal transportation network and address the projected growth in population and employment. Figure 1 illustrates the planning process for the 2030 TSP update.

#### What the Future Holds

Population and employment growth in the Dallas-Fort Worth region is expected to continue to be strong through the year 2030. According to North Central Texas Council of Governments' (NCTCOG) projections, future trends (see Figures 2 and 3) include:

- Nearly doubling population in the region, with most of the growth outside the DART Service Area boundary;
- Nearly doubling employment, with most of the growth also outside DART's Service Area, but with the





majority of jobs still located within the Service Area;

- Nearly doubling of vehicle miles of travel, which trends close to the population and employment growth;
- A five-fold increase in congestion delay – meaning that improvements to the roadway system cannot keep up with population growth.

All of these trends indicate that we will face a less reliable and slower roadway system and spend more of our time in our cars and in traffic. DART wants to make transit part of the solution to improve mobility in the region and offer fast, efficient and reliable alternatives to the single-occupant vehicle.

A key challenge is how to address growth and mobility needs outside the DART Service Area boundary. Many of these more regional questions are being tackled as part of the Regional Transit Initiative, sponsored by NCTCOG (see www.nctcog.org/rrcs for more information).



#### **Transit as Part of the Solution**

DART has defined a range of initial alternatives that will be examined during the 2030 TSP effort. The goal is to develop a multi-modal set of projects and strategies that can address future mobility issues cost-effectively.

Initial alternatives reflect a variety of service strategies developed to meet projected needs within specific planning corridors. Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the initial rail and bus corridors to be tested in the system planning effort. "Express", "Rapid", and/or "Enhanced" service strategies will be tested in the various corridors as appropriate. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of these different service strategies in terms of their frequency, carrying capacity, and primary right-of-way. While not shown on the map, there are also High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes, additional bus services, and mobility programs that will be incorporated into the plan.



Figure 2 - 2030 Population Density



**Figures 2 and 3** illustrate populatian and employment density projected for the year 2030. Darker areas represent higher densities, where more trips are generated and higher congestion levels typically accur.



2 • Summer 2004

The LINK • DART 2030 Transit System Plan 👾



FIGURE 4 - Initial Rail and Bus Corridors To Be Evaluated

and definition of the statement of the second statement of the statement of the second statement of the second

.....





# Developing the Transit System Plan

DART will conduct a three-step evaluation process to develop the 2030 TSP (see Figure 6). The process begins with the initial set of corridor alternatives, and uses a set of conceptuallevel evaluation criteria to refine and screen them. The most promising range of projects will then be combined into several "system plan scenarios" or transit networks. These different system plan scenarios will then go through a more detailed evaluation process, focusing on the system-level performance of the different scenarios - that is, which plans result in the most benefits (ridership, operating efficiencies, air quality, etc.) in the most cost-effective manner?

One or more refined scenarios will then go through a trade-off analysis that considers financial constraints. DART will project the amount of funds that may be available through 2030, and will have to select and prioritize improvements based on the amount of funding available. Promising projects that are not affordable may be included in a non-financially constrained vision plan for future consideration. This vision plan may also include projects outside the DART Service Area that could be implemented by others, or could be reassessed in future TSP updates if new member cities join DART.



### **Table 1 - Service Strategy Characteristics**

(1989))Centrondese	લાવેલ છે. આ ગામ આ ગામ આ ગામ આ ગ	TYREA BACHARASIAN AARYINSADAGA MARINGADA	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10} $	
EXPRESS	High speed service designed to se	rve long trips with limited station stops		
Express Rail	20/60	500 to 1,500+- (varies with technology)	Existing railroad corridor	
Express Bus	10 / none or limited	200 to 300	Freeway / HOV System	
RAPID	Medium speed service designed t	o serve short-medium trips with more freq	went station stops	
Rapid Rail	10/20	2,400 to 3,200	Existing railroad corridor and / or Street corridors	
Rapid Bus	10 / 20	300 to 400	Freeway / Street System	
ENHANCED	Low-cost improvements to enhar	nce speed, service and convenience in majo	r bus corridors	
HOV	Depends on transit service on HOV system	1,700 per lane (automobiles) Also see Express Bus section above	Freeway System	
MOBILITY PROGRAMS	Supporting programs such as Inte (TDM), and Transportation System	elligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Trove n Management (TSM).	l Demand Management	



Dallas, TX 75266-7232 P.O. Box 660163 9unevA phiper 1041 JisnerT biqeA serA selleQ 🥨



Dallas, TX 75248 fluid onod 8168 Jim Pierce

What's Next DART held six public meetings in April 2004 to discuss the TSP effort, highlight future mobility issues, and review the initial alternatives and evaluation process. The original schedule shown at the April 2004 meetings listed public meetings in July 2004. Due to delays in ridership modeling, the next round of public meetings are tentatively scheduled for late 2004 or early 2005. These meetings will present the preliminary results and recommendations of the conceptual evaluation phase. Thus, the next several months are focused on ridership

2030 Transit System Plan Newsletter

analyses, cost estimates, and completion of the conceptual evaluation phase, taking into consideration comments received during the April 2004 meetings.

For more information

visit the "Expansion

Studies" section of

www.DART.org

# Wantelliore halormationa

N/2 2010 (01,1071012 1/0051 (01,022106)(0)2 310 11/42 in the planting creaters. If your workies ike a meminessen ens 2030 für giftere in pairs officient in the line transferre presente, acontenet. Literif Contration STREET STREET Weightern, allse contained

White and the second community

Say Sharon (DARF Coeffel Planna)

ອັດມີສະອາດິລາກລາດວ່າ ລັດໃຫ້ໄດ້ສະດວດຜີມີລະຄັນ

ATTENTS) STREET AND DUAL TO OF



2040 Dame1	A+1	- D-		t a	<b>6</b> (1)
2040 Popul	auo		ojeci	$\mathbf{u}$	IIS
L			<b>*</b> *		
	Population	Char	ige in Populat	lion	
Rank COG Area	2000	2040	Number	Percent	
1 Capital Area Planning Council	1.346,833	4,802,535	3,455,702	2\$6.6	1
2 Notific Control Taxan Control (Control of Control of	5.309,277	17,091,098	11,781,821	221.9	
3 South Texas Development Council.	264,177	786,341	522,164	197.7	
🐴 Lower Ra Oranie: Voltey Development Corrori	924,772	2,599,376	1,674,604	181.1	
5 Houston Galveston Area Council	4,8\$4,454	12,883,490	8,029,036	165.4	
6 Control Terrar Council of Governments	374,518	745,272	370,754	<b>9</b> 9	
7 Ren Grande Council of Governments	704,318	1_274,183	569,865	80.9	
8 Alamo Area Council of Governments	1,807,868	3,136,524	1,328,656	73.5	
<sup>15</sup> East Texas Council of Governments	745,180	1,275,802	530,622	71,2	
10 Brazes Valley Council of Governments	267.085	425,411	158.326	\$9,3	
11 Cossial Bood Council of Governments	\$49,012	873,659	324,647	59.1	
12 Heart of Texas Council of Governments	321,536	506,024	184,488	57.4	
13 Parlandia Regional Planning Computition	402,862	593,469	190,607	47.3	
14 Deep East Team Council of Governments	355,862	522,052	166,190	46.7	
15 South East Texas Reposed Pleasing Communica	385,090	\$52,846	167,756	43.6	
16 Mikele iko Grando Development Council	154,381	213,897	\$9,516	38.6	
17 Texoma Council of Governments	178,200	236,905	58,705	32.9	
18 Oalim Conserve Report Planny Communics	183,905	233,946	50,041	27.2	
9 Provide Base Regional Playing Conversion	376,672	431,016	54,344	34.4	
20 Conche Valley Council of Governments	148,212	169.314	21,102	142	
2] MORTEX Restoral Planton Constitution	224,366	245 267	20,901	9.3	
22 South Plans Association of Governments	377,871	404.206	26,335	7	
23 West Courts Trans Cource of Governments	324,901	320,811	-4,090	-1.3	
24 Adv-Tex Council of Governments	270.468	359.517	-10.951	-4	







----



AGENDA				
<ul> <li>DART Transit System Plan         <ul> <li>What is it?</li> <li>Why plan?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
- Guiding Principles				
Growth Trends and Mobility Issues				
<ul> <li>Technology Options</li> <li>Transit Service Strategies</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Initial Alternatives (Rail, Bus, HOV)</li> <li>Evaluation Process</li> </ul>				
Schedule and Milestones				
2030 TRANSIT				





"

























-









1977 E.









÷.

4 - - 1 - 4









ŝ,

\$









. . . . .



Transit	Sel Key C	rvi :hara	ce		one	сер	ts	\$
3,000					_			
2,500					0	Rapid LRT	C	) Express LRT
2,000								
1,500							0	Express Commute Rall
1,000								
500		0	) Regular Streetcar	Bus ()	Enhance	id Bus Rapid Bus	0	Express Bus
Avg Operating Speed (mph)	0 5	: 1	l0 15	2	025	30	35	40
Speed Category	Regui	ar	Enhanced		Rapid		Express	
Typical Station Spacing	1/8 to	1/2	V2 to 1		1 to 3		3 to 5	
Guideway	Street Semi-Exclusive Exclusive Transitway				sitway			













ģ

1

÷



Example Evaluation Criteria				
Mobility	Ridership Potential - uses benchmarks			
	Travel Time Savings Potential (vs. auto)			
	Connectivity			
ECTSIDIILY SAME AND A	Right-of-way availability; ownership			
	Level of transit priority			
CostoEffectiveness//	Annualized Cost per Rider/Mile - uses benchmarks			
Land Use/Economic-	Urbanization Level (1/2 mile buffer)			
Development	Market Area Potential (3 mile buffer)			
Environmental	Air Quality effects (change in VMT from baseline)			

TRANSIT









,